Effect of Simultaneous Addition of Mn and Mischmetal on the High Temperature Deformation Behavior of AZ61 Magnesium Alloy
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Introduction

Magnesium alloys have broad applications in electronics, and in the automotive and aerospace industries for weight reduction and high fuel efficiency¹. In recent years, magnesium alloys are also attracting increasing attentions as biomedical materials due to their excellent degradability². With a broader application of magnesium alloys, the demand for complex-shaped extruded magnesium is growing. However, owing to intrinsic characteristics of the hexagonal close-packed lattice structure, magnesium alloys have poor formability and limited ductility at room temperature³-⁶. Therefore, forging of magnesium product is always performed at elevated temperature, whereby non-basal slips can be activated to enhance hot workability⁷. However, even at an elevated temperature, the formability of magnesium alloys is not good, and leads to low extrusion efficiency. AZ61 alloy is a widely used wrought magnesium alloy because of its low cost and moderate properties. Many investigations have been carried out on its hot workability⁷. In recent years, magnesium alloys are also attracting increasing attentions as biomedical materials due to their excellent degradability². With a broader application of magnesium alloys, the demand for complex-shaped extruded magnesium is growing. However, owing to intrinsic characteristics of the hexagonal close-packed lattice structure, magnesium alloys have poor formability and limited ductility at room temperature³-⁶. Therefore, forging of magnesium product is always performed at elevated temperature, whereby non-basal slips can be activated to enhance hot workability⁷. However, even at an elevated temperature, the formability of magnesium alloys is not good, and leads to low extrusion efficiency. AZ61 alloy is a widely used wrought magnesium alloy because of its low cost and moderate properties. Many investigations have been carried out on its hot processing parameters and its deformation behavior⁸-¹³. The combined effect of the rare earth elements (mischmetal, abbreviated as Mm) and manganese (Mn) on the deformation behaviors of AZ61 magnesium alloy has rarely been reported.

In previous studies conducted by our group¹⁴, the chemical content of Mn and Mm in AZ61 alloy were co-modified in order to improve workability. The composition range of Mn and Mm was 0.3-0.8% and 0.4-1.0% (mass fraction), respectively. Based on earlier results, a Mn-RE co-modified specimen and a standard AZ61 alloy were prepared for this research. The true stress-true strain curves at different deformation conditions of the alloys were plotted to investigate the deformation behavior. The microstructure evolutions and second phases of the experimental alloys were analyzed to reveal the influence mechanisms of simultaneous addition of Mm and Mn on the deformation behavior.

Table 1 Elemental composition of the experimental alloys (mass fraction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.8145</td>
<td>0.3004</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.8487</td>
<td>0.6807</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.67 Bal.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Results

3.1 True stress-true strain curves

The true stress-true strain curves obtained from the isothermal compression tests are presented in Fig. 1. The measurements were repeated at different temperatures and at strain rates of 0.1 s\(^{-1}\) and 1 s\(^{-1}\). It can be observed that all of the curves exhibit the typical response of dynamic softening, characterized by a single peak followed by a steady-state curves exhibit the typical response of dynamic softening. In the beginning of each compression test, the flow stress increases drastically with strain due to a dominating work hardening effect. At elevated strain, the rapidly accumulating stored energy provides a sufficient driving force for the dynamic recovery (DRV) and dynamic recrystallization (DRX). During this deformation period, there is a competition between work hardening and dynamic softening. The potential driving forces of DRV and DRX intensify with the increasing true strain. High deformation energy causes the nucleation and growth of new grains to be accelerated. DRV and DRX reorganize the dislocation structure and reduce its density, thereby reducing the stress in the compression process. As a result, the flow stress begins to decrease gradually after it reaches a peak value.

In Fig. 1, before the peaks appear, the true stress-true strain curves for alloy II exhibit more gradual slopes than for alloy I. This trend indicates that the work hardening in alloy I is more severe. In all 6 deformation conditions, (523 K at 0.1 s\(^{-1}\), 623 K at 0.1 s\(^{-1}\), 723 K at 0.1 s\(^{-1}\), 523 K at 1 s\(^{-1}\), 623 K at 1 s\(^{-1}\), and 723 K at 1 s\(^{-1}\)), the true stress-true strain curves for alloy I demonstrate a lower deformation resistance than the curves for alloy I. This trend proves that alloy II has a better deformability than alloy I for the given hot working conditions. Furthermore, the improved deformability of alloy II becomes more obvious when the deformation temperature reduces or when the strain rate increases. When the deformation conditions are 523 K at 1 s\(^{-1}\), the flow stresses of the two alloys demonstrate the greatest difference. On the contrary, when the deformation conditions are 723 K at 0.1 s\(^{-1}\), the true stress-true strain curves of the two alloys demonstrate the minimum difference. The differential between curve pairs representing the behavior of the two alloys reduces according to the sequence of deformation conditions (523 K at 1 s\(^{-1}\), 523 K at 0.1 s\(^{-1}\), 623 K at 1 s\(^{-1}\), 623 K at 0.1 s\(^{-1}\), 723 K at 1 s\(^{-1}\), and 723 K at 0.1 s\(^{-1}\)). In order to better characterize the deformation behavior differential between alloy I and alloy II, the peak stresses and peak strain values were also extracted from raw data, and shown in Table 2 and Table 3.

As expected, the deformation resistance decreases when the temperature increases, and increases when the strain rate increases\(^{18}\). Table 2 clearly demonstrates that the changes in peak stress for both alloys conform to this rule. Table 2 also reveals that the variation of the peak stress in alloy II is not as great as in alloy I when the deformation temperature changes. This observation reveals that the deformation behavior of alloy I is more sensitive to temperature changes than in alloy II. In addition, when the strain rate is changed, the variability of peak stress in alloy II is not as great as in alloy I. This is due to the fact that the microstructure of alloy II has a better coordination deformation capability than the microstructure of alloy I.

Table 3 shows that alloy II almost always exhibits lower peak strain values than alloy I in the various deformation conditions. Under the particular deformation conditions of 523 K at 1 s\(^{-1}\), alloy II reaches a significantly lower strain value than alloy I. In a typical DRX (dynamic recrystallization), the true stress-true strain curve descends after the peak because of the effects of dynamic softening which exceed the effects of work-hardening\(^{17}\). Thus, compared to the corresponding curves of alloy I, the dynamic softening that overcomes work-hardening occurs earlier in alloy II. This indicates that alloy II has a better deformability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Peak stress values of isothermal compression tests in alloys I and II (MPa).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>523 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy I</td>
<td>158.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy II</td>
<td>138.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Peak strain values of isothermal compression tests in alloys I and II.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>523 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy I</td>
<td>0.1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloy II</td>
<td>0.1858</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 1 True stress-true strain curves of experimental alloys at different strain rates and temperatures: (a) $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.1$ s\(^{-1}\), (b) $\dot{\varepsilon} = 1$ s\(^{-1}\).](image-url)
3.2 Microstructure evolution

Microstructure evolution during the isothermal compression was investigated at the deformation temperatures of 523 K and 623 K and 1 s\(^{-1}\) strain rate. Specimens with three strain increments (0.1, 0.16, and 0.3) were prepared and their microstructures were preserved by water-cooling. For AZ series magnesium alloys, 673 K is an acceptable heat treatment temperature. The grains of the specimens will not coarsen at compression temperatures of 523 K, 623 K and 723 K.

Figures 2(a), (c), and (e) show the microstructure evolution in alloy I at the deformation conditions of 523 K and 1 s\(^{-1}\) during the three progressive strain levels. Figures 2(b), (d), and (f) present the corresponding evolutions in alloy II. Comparing Figs. 2(a) and (b) it can be observed that crystal twinning occurs earlier in alloy II. When the strain is 0.1, twinning can be observed in alloy II, but not in alloy I. The twin crystals can be clearly seen in the specimen made from alloy II by using TEM. This is illustrated in Fig. 3. Figure 2(c) shows that some twin crystals can be found in alloy I when the strain increases to 0.16. In Fig. 2(d), which shows the microstructure in alloy II, not only twinning can be observed, but also some small recrystallized grains. When the strain is 0.3, the recrystallized grains and twinning can be observed in both alloy I and alloy II as shown in Figs. 2(e) and (f), respectively.

Furthermore, recrystallization is more pronounced in alloy II than in alloy I. Thus, it can be inferred that the simultaneous addition of Mn and Mm can promote the occurrence of twinning and recrystallization at the deformation conditions of 523 K and 1 s\(^{-1}\).
The microstructure evolution in the experimental specimens at the deformation conditions of 623 K and 1 s\(^{-1}\) was also analyzed. Figures 4(a), (c), and (e) present the microstructures in alloy I at strains 0.1, 0.16, and 0.3, respectively. Figures 4(b), (d), and (f) present the corresponding microstructures in alloy II. As in Fig. 2, Fig. 4 indicates that crystal twinning and dynamic recrystallization appear earlier in alloy II than in alloy I.

From microstructure evolution analysis during deformation processing, it is can be inferred that the simultaneous addition of Mn and Mm is beneficial to the twinning formation and to the dynamic recrystallization of AZ61 magnesium alloy.

4. Discussion

To further elucidate the driving mechanisms of the evolution phenomenon encountered, the microstructures of the specimens were investigated further.

The initial microstructures of as-homogenized specimens are shown in Fig. 5. Compared to alloy I, grains in alloy II are significantly smaller. Considering that compression was performed at the elevated temperature (523 K, 623 K, 723 K), deformation is expected to take place at grain boundaries preferentially rather than in the grain interior\(^{19}\). In addition, the increase in number of grain boundaries could also provide a greater number of interfaces to benefit to the nucleation of dynamic recrystallization during the hot deformation process. It is also helpful in improving the deformability of the specimens.

Before the isothermal compression was performed, the specimens were homogenized in order to force the Mg\(_{17}\)Al\(_{12}\) to dissolve into the matrix. Since solid solution strengthening can improve the deformation resistance, the initial microstructures of the as-cast alloys were also analyzed and shown in Fig. 6. It can be observed that the amount of the reticular
Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ in alloy II is considerably reduced compared with that in alloy I. When Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ phase dissolved into the matrix, solid solution strengthening in alloy I should be more prominent than in alloy II. A weaker matrix could be another reason why alloy II shows a lower deformation resistance than alloy I.

In a further study, the second phases in alloy II were analyzed and shown in Fig. 7. According to SEM-EDS analysis, the second phases in alloy II are mainly composed of Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$, Al$_4$RE and Al$_{10}$RE$_2$Mn$_7$. After the addition of compound containing 0.7% RE and 0.7% Mn (mass fraction), the amount of Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ phase decreased significantly. A relatively small needle-like Al$_4$RE phase and a short-bar-shaped Al$_{10}$RE$_2$Mn$_7$ phase appeared. These second phases were less than 40 μm long. In order to reveal how the second phases affected the deformation behaviors of the specimens, their morphologies and distributions after the isothermal compression were also investigated. Figure 8(a) and (c) present the morphologies of Al$_{10}$RE$_2$Mn$_7$ and Al$_4$RE in the deformed specimens, while Fig. 8(b) and (d) illustrate their respective EDS patterns. It can be observed in Fig. 8 that both the needle-like Al$_4$RE phase and short-bar-shaped Al$_{10}$RE$_2$Mn$_7$ phase are crushed into discontinuous pieces after the deformation of the matrix. The Al$_{10}$RE$_2$Mn$_7$ phase, in particular, was crushed into many small pieces with a size smaller than 5 microns, and there is no obvious crack that can be observed in the matrix. It is considered to be an easily deformed and crispy phase that can’t tear the matrix during the deformation.

In general, the second phases have a noticeable effect on work-hardening of metallic materials, but the deformation resistance in the modified AZ61 specimen is reduced because of the formation of Al$_{10}$RE$_2$Mn$_7$ phase. Furthermore, the simultaneous addition of Mn and Mm can significantly reduce the amount of Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ phase. Since solution strengthening is induced when Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$ dissolves into the matrix during homogenization processing, the deformation resistance in alloy II should be lower than in alloy I. This is attributed to the lower amount of Mg$_{17}$Al$_{12}$, and it is probably another reason why alloy II has a better deformability. As deformation progresses, Al$_4$RE and Al$_{10}$RE$_2$Mn$_7$ are crushed into discontinuous pieces and can impede the dislocation slip from forming a dislocation tangle. This is beneficial to dynamic recrystallization nucleation. Moreover, the crushed small pieces of second phase could provide more interfaces for the nucleation of dynamic recrystallization. This hypothesis can be confirmed by Fig. 9, in which several nucleated DRX grains can be observed around the second phase in alloy II subjected to a strain of 0.3 at 523 K and a strain rate of 1 s$^{-1}$. Therefore, the simultaneous addition of Mn and Mm can promote the dynamic recrystallization in AZ61 magnesium alloy II.
Attributed to the same reasoning is also the assertion that the Mn-Mm modified specimen (alloy II) is a better and more deformable material than alloy I.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, the effect of the simultaneous addition of Mn and Mm on the high temperature deformation behavior of AZ61 magnesium alloy was investigated. The true stress-true strain curves of the specimens were characterized at strain rates of 0.1 s\(^{-1}\) and 1 s\(^{-1}\) and at deformation temperatures of 523 K, 623 K, and 723 K. The microstructure evolutions and second phases of the experimental alloys were also analyzed to reveal the deformation mechanisms. The main conclusions can be summarized as follows:

1) The simultaneous addition of 0.7% Mn and 0.7% Mm (mass fraction) improves the high temperature deformability of AZ61 magnesium alloy. The improvement is more pronounced at lower processing temperatures and at higher strain rates.

2) Dynamic softening can be enhanced by Mn-Mm co-addition in AZ61 magnesium alloy. This leads to a decrease in deformation resistance.

3) The simultaneous addition of 0.7% Mn and 0.7% Mm lead to a grain refinement in AZ61 magnesium alloy which is beneficial to the nucleation of dynamic recrystallization and deformability at elevated temperatures.

4) The simultaneous addition of 0.7% Mn and 0.7% Mm not only reduces the amount of Mg\(_{17}\)Al\(_{12}\) significantly but also forms small Al\(_{10}\)RE\(_2\)Mn\(_7\) and Al\(_4\)RE phases. These phases can be crushed into small pieces during the deformation of the matrix. Their presence impedes dislocation and increases the number of interfaces beneficial to the nucleation of dynamic recrystallization.
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