Kinetic Analysis of the Gasification of Diamond
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Diamond is the ultimate state of carbon crystal. However, a transformation from diamond to graphite during gasification occurs under oxidizing atmosphere. The gasification behaviors of diamond vary with the reaction gas composition and temperature.

There has been no kinetic study on the diamond gasification. Most of the interest in this area has been related to diamond growth.

The change of morphology of diamond surface and the crystallographic orientation between the diamond and the graphite formed was presented in a previous study.

In this study, the gasification of natural diamond was investigated using TG-DTA. Kinetic analysis was performed on the basis of Langmuir-Hinshelwood type equation, in which some rate constant was modified from the previous study for coke gasification. SEM observations of the diamond surface were carried out in order to clarify the change in surface morphology. Moreover, the simultaneous gasification of diamond and graphite was carried out in order to clarify the difference in reactivity between ordinary graphite and graphite formed on the diamond during gasification. [doi:10.2320/matertrans.MRA2008069]

(Received March 10, 2008; Accepted April 14, 2008; Published June 25, 2008)

Keywords: gasification of diamond, kinetic analysis, transformation from diamond to graphite

1. Introduction

Gasification of various kinds of carbonaceous materials (graphite, char, coke and so on) has been studied for the last several decades.1-7) Generally, Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) type rate equation was adopted for the kinetic analysis, in which one kind of carbon having a single reactivity was considered. However, the reactivity of carbonaceous material decreases with the degree of graphitization. Conversely, it increases as the material transforms into non-crystalline (amorphous) carbon. The authors5) have presented the mechanism of gasification based on the anisotropic nature of the graphite crystal. For this kinetic analysis, the reactivity of carbon was classified into two groups: one was the graphite crystal having a low reactivity, and the other was non-crystal carbon having a high reactivity.

Alternatively, diamond can be considered an ultimate state of carbon crystal. The present authors carried out the gasification of natural diamond8) and clarified the behavior of gasification, both under a low oxygen partial pressure in an argon (<20 ppm) and under an argon-CO₂ mixture, specifically Ar-30 vol%CO₂. The gasification behaviors of diamond were different from the reaction gas composition; however, the transformation from diamond to graphite during gasification was the same. The crystallographic orientation between the diamond and the formed graphite was presented in the previous study.8)

As mentioned above, there have been many studies on the gasification of various kinds of carbon. However, no gasification study has been conducted for the diamond. Most of the interest seen thus far has been related to diamond growth. The purpose of this series of studies is to investigate the diamond behavior occurring in a direction opposite to that of growth.

In this study, the gasification reaction of a small diamond particle (2 mg ± 0.1 mg) was carried out, mainly under Ar-30 vol%CO₂, the effects of gas composition and temperature were also clarified in comparison with the graphite gasification.

2. Experimental

2.1 Apparatus and conditions

Figure 1 shows the experimental apparatus including the TG-DTA equipment, gas controller (MFC: mass flow controller) and a gas analyzing device (QMS: quadrupole mass spectrometer). In the present experiment, a horizontal type TG-DTA, and a platinum crucible (5 mm Ø and 2.5 mm in depth) were used. The minimum mass sensitivity of TG is 0.2 μg.

The diamond sample was heated up to the reaction temperature (Table 1) at a rate of 50 K/min under an argon atmosphere. The reaction gas was adjusted in the by-pass using MFC. The total flow rate of the reaction gas was 500 Ncc/min (linear velocity: 3 cm/s), and the main gas
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Fig. 1 Schematics of experimental apparatus consisted of TG-DTA-MS system and gas controlling system.
composition was Ar-30 vol%CO₂. As the TG-DTA is of the horizontal type (SII, TG/DTA5200), a relatively high flow rate can be used until 1000 Ncc/min. It was confirmed that the gas phase mass transport was negligible from 500 Ncc/min for the highest gasification rate of the graphite sample (EXP-0).

The basic reaction in the present study can be expressed by eq. (1).

\[
\text{C(diamond, graphite)} + \text{CO}_2(g) = 2\text{CO(g)} \quad (1)
\]

It is understood from eq. (1) that the effect of the produced CO gas on the inhibition of the gasification reaction is important. The range of CO₂ composition of the reaction gas was changed from 20 vol% to 80 vol% (Exp-2), and the CO composition was changed from 0 vol% to 10 vol% (Exp-3). In addition, the effect of the temperature on the gasification reaction of diamond was also examined from 1273 K to 1573 K (Exp-4).

When the reaction temperature became stable, the reaction gas in the by-pass was introduced to the TG-DTA, and the reaction started. The mass loss of diamond was continuously measured in 1 s intervals and corrected on the computer. The reaction time was set at 1800 s (30 min) as a main condition, and the effect of the experiment time was examined in an experiment with 5400 s (90 min; Exp-5). It is important to note that the effect of the experiment time might be related to the change of the reaction mechanism according to the decrease of the diamond sample.

Finally, the simultaneous reactions between graphite and diamond were investigated and the effect of the produced gas (CO) on the neighbor sample was studied (Exp-6). This experiment also examined the difference in reactivity between the conventional graphite sample and the sample formed on the diamond surface during the gasification process.

2.2 Sample served

The mass of the diamond used in the present study was about 2 mg (±0.1 mg). The diamond was not spherical in shape. The surface of the natural diamond consisted of {111} as the habit plane, the length of an edge of the equilateral triangle was about 750 μm. Figure 2 shows the morphology of the diamond samples used before and after the experiment. Figures 2(a)–(c) show the image of the same sample, using a different observation method, and the difference before and after the experiment. Figure 2(a) is the image of optical microscope after the experiment at 1773 K under an argon atmosphere (P_{O₂} < 20 ppm). The slightly oxidized surface, which was confirmed as a kind of graphite layer, can be observed. Figure 2(d) shows the diamond image after the experiment at 1473 K under Ar-30 vol%CO₂ for 1800 s. The gasification degree seen in Fig. 2(d) is 5.5%, and a relatively thick layer of product, which was also confirmed as the same structure with graphite, was formed on the surface of the diamond. However, the reactivity between the ordinary graphite and the graphite-like product that formed on the surface of the diamond was fairly different. The details will be mentioned in a later section of the present paper.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Typical gasification behavior of diamond and graphite, and kinetic analysis

The typical reaction curves for the diamond (Exp-0) and graphite (Exp-1) are shown in Fig. 3. The temperature increased from room temperature to a given temperature (1473 K in the case of Fig. 3) at a rate of 50 K/min under ultra high purity (>99.9999%) Ar. When the temperature was stabilized, the argon gas was changed to the reaction gas. The weight loss of sample was measured for 1800 s (30 min) at the reaction temperature, then, the reaction gas was changed again to Ar and the temperature was decreased by turning off the power to the TG-DTA electric furnace.

During heating up under argon, the weight loss of samples was negligible. The gasification reaction of both samples
began as soon as the reaction gas was introduced. It was found that the rate of weight loss (gasification rate: slope of the line) was linear to the reaction time. Within a 30 min reaction time, the degree of gasification of diamond was about 5%, and that for graphite was 38%. The rate of gasification of graphite was 7.5 times larger than that of diamond.

For the kinetic analysis, two kinds of equations were examined for agreement with the experimental data. The rate equations are summarized in Table 2, where $r$ is reaction rate, $x$ (-) means the fraction of unreacted carbon, $t$ (s) is the time, $A$ (cm$^2$) is the surface area of the sample, and $k$ (s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) is the overall rate constant, which is expressed by a Langmuir-Hinshelwood type equation (details are mentioned below). As the exact surface area of sample is not known, $A$ and $k$ are unified into $k'$. Equation (2) describes the surface reaction of a sample with linearity to time. Equation (6) describes the homogeneous reaction in the sample, which is generally adaptable to a porous sample such as a char, coke or graphite. The plots $\left(1 - x\right)$ vs. $t$ or $\ln(x)$ vs. $t$ indicate an adequate rate equation for the present experiment.

Kashiwaya et al. have performed kinetic analysis on the coke gasification reaction. In the kinetic analysis, they adopted the Langmuir-Hinshelwood type (L-H) equation and the reactivity of carbon was classified into two types: one is a crystallized carbon (graphite crystal) which has a low reactivity; the other is non-crystal carbon (amorphous carbon), which has a high reactivity. Equation (10) corresponds to the rate constant of crystallized carbon (graphite), $k_C$ and eq. (11) corresponds to the non-crystal carbon (amorphous), $k_a$. These rate constants are expressed by eqs. (12)–(15).

In the present study, based on the graphite rate constant, eq. (10), the rate constant for diamond and graphite (electrode material with low ash) was expressed as eq. (16), in which a factor for the rate constant, $F_d$ was introduced. Furthermore, reaction constants ($k_{1d}$(s$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1}$), $k_{2d}$(atm$^{-1}$), $k_{3d}$(atm$^{-1}$)) were adjusted for fitting the experimental data based on the rate parameters of graphite, eqs. (12), (14) and

![Fig. 2](image1.png) Appearances of diamond surface before and after experiments. (a) before exp. by optical microscope, (b) before exp. by laser microscope, (c) after exp. by optical microscope (max temp.: 1773 K under argon), (d) after exp. at 1473 K under Ar-30%CO$_2$ for 1800 s.

![Fig. 3](image2.png) Difference of mass change between diamond and graphite obtained by TG-DTA during gasification. (Heating up rate: 50 K/min, reaction temp.: 1473 K, Ar-30 vol%CO$_2$, Flow rate: 500 Ncc/min).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Rate equations examined in the present study.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dx}{dt} = -Ak = -k'$</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dx}{dt} = -Ax = -k'x$</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dx = -A k d t$</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dx}{x} = -A k \cdot d t$</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x = -A k t + C$</td>
<td>at $t = 0, x = 1, C = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ln x = -A k t + C$</td>
<td>at $t = 0, x = 1, C = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x - 1 = -A k t$</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ln x = -A k t$</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Effects of CO and CO gases and temperature on the gasification of diamond

The effect of CO gases on the gasification of diamond was examined under the conditions of “Exp-2” listed in Table 1. The results of Exp-2 are shown in Fig. 4. The gasification reaction began 500 s after the reaction gas was changed to the furnace, which was an influence of gas exchange with the purging Ar. After 500 s, the apparent reaction degree increased linearly with time. After 1800 s of reaction, the gasification degree was about 4% for 20 vol%CO, and about 13.5% for 80 vol%CO.

Figure 5 shows the effect of CO gas on the gasification reaction (Exp-3). The composition of the reaction gases was changed to two levels: 5 vol%CO–30 vol%CO–Ar and 10 vol%CO–30 vol%CO–Ar. It was found that the small quantity of CO gas (up to 5 vol%CO) had a relatively large inhibition effect on the gasification reaction in comparison with the 0 vol%CO–30 vol%CO.

Using these data, kinetic analysis was carried out. At first, the adequate reaction equation was examined for determining the mechanism of diamond gasification. As mentioned above, the plots of $(1-x)$ vs. $t$ and $\ln(x)$ vs. $t$ are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. After 500 s, when no influence of gas exchange existed, a linear relationship of $(1-x)$ vs. $t$ was obtained for all conditions and the correlation factors of the least square method were more than 0.9995. In the case of $\ln(x)$ vs. $t$, it was difficult to detect any linearity.

Hereinafter, the plot of $(1-x)$ vs. $t$ was used for kinetic analysis and the rate constants $k'$ were measured for the slopes of the respective plots. As a result, the gasification degree and $(1-x)$ were the same.

(15). The obtained parameters are shown in eqs. (17) to (20).

**Coke gasification**:

$$k_C = \frac{k_{1,C} P_{CO}}{1 + k_2 P_{CO} + k_3 P_{CO}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$k_a = \frac{k_{1,a} P_{CO}}{1 + k_2 P_{CO} + k_3 P_{CO}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

$$k_{1,C} = \exp(9.49 - 24200/T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

$$k_{1,a} = \exp(4.19 - 13400/T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$k_2 = \exp(-17.7 + 27700/T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$k_3 = \exp(-1.59 + 5680/T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

**Diamond and graphite gasification in present study**:

$$k' = \frac{F_d k_{1,d} P_{CO}}{1 + k_{3,d} P_{CO}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

$$F_d = 0.116 \text{ (diamond)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17a)

$$F_d = 0.8 \text{ (graphite)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17b)

$$k_{1,d} = \exp(9.49 - 24200/T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$k_{2,d} = \exp(-15.8 + 27700/T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

$$k_{3,d} = \exp(-5.53 + 5680/T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)
Figure 8 shows the effect of temperature on the gasification reaction of diamond. The reaction at 1273 K was very small. When the temperature increased, the reaction degree increased rapidly. At 1573 K, the gasification degree reached about 20% in 1800 s.

From these plots, the rate constants $k_0$ were obtained and are summarized in Figs. 9 to 11. The lines in these figures were calculated using eqs. (16)–(20). Excellent agreement was obtained with experimental data for all experimental conditions. The activation energies for the reaction parameters in the L-H equation were the same for graphite as those obtained by the previous study.4) Only pre-exponential factors (frequency factors) were changed, which corresponded to the decrease of reaction surface or reaction sites.

3.3 SEM observation of the surface after gasification

Figure 12 shows observations of the diamond surface after gasification (Exp-2). The gasification reaction proceeded at the center of a defect, which has the shape of an equilateral triangle consisting of $\{111\}$ planes. In Fig. 12(a), the traces of the triangle can be seen slightly, and three cracks propagating from the pit located at the center of the triangle are clearly found in the three directions. The pits enlarged in accordance with the increase in gasification degree (Fig. 12(b), 40 vol% CO$_2$), and the large hole, along with the crack, which has an irregular shape, appeared in the further reaction degree (Fig. 12(c)). When the gasification potential of the reaction gas increased to 80 vol% CO$_2$, the crack and the pit became deeper but not wider. The surface roughness in the other parts of the crack decreased. The gasification proceeded at a limited reaction site in the case of low oxygen potential, and in contrast, under a relatively high oxygen potential the reaction proceeded throughout the surface.
Figure 13 shows the effect of CO gas on the surface morphology after gasification (Exp-3). Figures 13(a) and (b) correspond to 5 vol%CO and 10 vol%CO, respectively. Figure 13(a) shows a smooth but waved surface except the position of cracks. The position of the triangle was smoothly dimpled by the gasification reaction, and the three cracks and the pit merged into an open tripod shape. As shown in Fig. 13(b), the CO inhibition effect increased with 10 vol%CO, and the depth of cracks and pits became shallow, but the whole surface was coarser than the surface under 5 vol%CO.

The effect of temperature (Exp-4) on the surface morphology after gasification is shown in Figs. 14(a) to (d), which reacted at 1273 K, 1373 K, 1473 K and 1573 K, respectively, for 30 vol%CO2. As shown in Fig. 8, little gasification occurred at 1273 K (Fig. 14(a)). Only small pits around 1 µm could be observed, although the depth was not measured. When temperature increased, the surface morphology drastically changed, but the mode of change was different from reaction temperature. At 1473 K, the surface around the triangle (cf. Fig. 12) appeared peeled, and small crack formed around the triangle. At 1573 K, the maximum temperature, a particular crack formed more than the others, and became a very deep.

In Exp-5, the effect of experimental time on the morphology change was observed through SEM, and the results are shown in Fig. 15. The result of 1800 s (30 min) is shown Figs. 15(a) and (c), and the result for 5400 s (90 min) is shown in Figs. 15(b) and (d). The reaction degree was 5.5% for 1800 s and 16.4% for 5400 s. The macroscopic images are Fig. 15(a) and (b) for 1800 s and 5400 s, respectively. A large triangular pit near 100 µm is shown in Fig. 15(a), but small pits around 10–20 µm were found on the diamond surface for the longer experiment. Furthermore, the corner and the edge of the diamond were preferentially gasified after 5400 s.

From these results, the fundamental mechanism of diamond surface gasification was considered, as shown in Fig. 16. As mentioned above and in reference,8) the original diamond surface had many triangular defects consisting of \{111\}. When the surface was gasified, a pit formed at the center of the triangle as shown in Fig. 16(b). Then, three cracks propagated from the pit in three separate directions (Fig. 16(c)). Finally, the pit and cracks were enlarged by the gasification process (Fig. 16(d)). Although the morphology of the surface (depth and width of the cracks) was variously changed by the gasification potential, the fundamental mechanism would proceed as shown in Fig. 16.

The experimental time was extended to 5400 s in Exp-5 and compared with the result of the 1800 s of Exp-1. The gasification degree was 17% at 5400 s, the linearity was very high, and the correlation factor of the least square method was more than 0.9995. The maximum gasification degree in the present study was 20% at 1573 K. It could be concluded that the linearity with time was valid until at least 20%.

![Figure 12](image12.png)

**Fig. 12** Effect of CO2 concentration on the surface morphology after gasification at 1473 K. (a) 20 vol%CO2, reaction degree 4.5%, (b) 40 vol%CO2, reaction degree 8%, (c) 60 vol%CO2, reaction degree 11.5%, (d) 80 vol%CO2, reaction degree 13.5%.

![Figure 13](image13.png)

**Fig. 13** Effect of CO concentration on the surface morphology after gasification under 30 vol%CO2 at 1473 K. (a) 5 vol%CO, reaction degree 2.8%, (b) 10 vol%CO, reaction degree 1.8%.
3.4 Simultaneous reaction between diamond and graphite

It was strange that the graphite structure was formed on the surface of the diamond during gasification, because generally, the reactivity of graphite is higher than that of diamond. Even if graphite formed during the diamond gasification, the formed graphite should be consumed earlier than the diamond structure. However, as mentioned in previous results, a graphite structure did exist on the surface of the diamond. Therefore, this structure should be different from that of ordinary graphite. In the present study, both reactivities were examined through the simultaneous reaction between graphite and diamond.

High purity graphite (ash < 20 ppm) was cut into almost the same size as the diamond sample. The gasification degree was measured together with the diamond sample (Exp-6: 200 µm (b) 5 µm (c) 200 µm (d) 5 µm)

Fig. 14 Effect of temperature on the surface morphology after gasification under 30 vol%CO₂. (a) 1273 K, reaction degree 0.2%, (b) 1373 K, reaction degree 1.5%, (c) 1473 K, reaction degree 5.5%, (d) 1573 K, reaction degree 21%.

Fig. 15 Effect of reaction time on the surface morphology after gasification under 30 vol%CO₂ at 1473 K. (a)(c) 30 min, reaction degree 5.5%, (b)(d) 90 min, reaction degree 16.4%.
30 vol%CO₂, 1473 K, 1800 s). The two samples were then set in the Pt crucible (5 mm φ, 2.5 mm in depth).

The surface morphology was observed by SEM and is shown in Fig. 17. In comparison with diamond, the gasification reaction in graphite proceeded throughout the surface. Some of the pits grew larger, ranging in size from 100 µm to 200 µm. Overall, small pits (1 µm to 10 µm) existed over the whole surface.

Figure 18 shows the reaction curves for the diamond, the graphite and the simultaneous reaction between diamond and graphite (Mix(Gra+Dia)). The lines for the graphite and diamond were calculated by using the equations from (16) to (20). $F_d$ for diamond is 0.116 and $F_d$ for graphite is 0.8, which were in excellent agreement with the observations in the single reaction.

When the simultaneous reaction was carried out, the apparent reaction degrees decreased in the both samples. However, the proportions of the decrease were different between two samples (Diamond: −1.9%, Graphite: −3%, Table 3). These results would be caused by the increase of CO gas content around the sample in the crucible, because of relatively large amount of reaction.

The preliminary calculation (Mix-cal) for the simultaneous reaction was carried out using the summation of the single reaction.
sample reaction, in which the gas composition was the same as the bulk gas (30 vol% CO$_2$). The calculated result fairly exceeded the observation. Then, the final calculation was performed on the base of the assumption that the CO gas produced affected the neighboring sample. The calculation result is shown with line in Fig. 18 as a Mix(Gra and Dia). The excellent agreement was obtained. In the case of this calculation, the CO gas concentration was 7.6 vol%, and the decrease of CO$_2$ equaled to a half of CO increase (26.2 vol% CO$_2$). This result is caused by the gas flow in the crucible (Fig. 19). The flow rate was 500 Ncc/min, which was confirmed that there is little effect of mass transport on the rate of reaction. However, this situation might valid in the case of single sample in the crucible as shown in Fig. 19(a). When there are two samples in the crucible (Fig. 19(b)), the produced gas will affect on the neighboring sample. As a result, the effective reaction gas on the gasification reaction in the present experiment was changed to 26.2 vol% CO$_2$–7.6 vol% CO.

The explanation of the value of Fd will be difficult. The value includes the effects of an effective surface area changing from the content and composition of ash in the coke. On the other hand, the diamond is a dense material and the number of reaction site is very low corresponding to graphite material. From these complex effects, the values of Fd (0.116 for diamond, and 0.8 for graphite) will be decided. However, the quantitative discussion is difficult at present. Further progress on this field will make possible to explain those meaning of parameters.

The rate of gasification of the diamond was quite low in comparison with the graphite and about 1/7 to the graphite. Nevertheless, a graphite structure formed on the surface of diamond during gasification, the rate of gasification was low, which meant that the graphite like structure is different from the actual graphite.

4. Conclusions

Using TG-DTA, the gasification of diamond was investigated. Kinetic analysis was performed on the basis of a Langmuir-Hinshelwood type rate equation, which was modified from the previous study for coke gasification. SEM observation of the diamond surface was carried out in order to clarify the change in surface morphology associated with varying experimental conditions. Moreover, the simultaneous gasification of diamond and graphite was carried out in order to clarify the difference in reactivity between the ordinary graphite and the one formed on the diamond during gasification. The following results were obtained.

1) The L-H type equation can be used to express the gasification of diamond and graphite.

$$k' = \frac{F_d k_{1,d} P_{CO}}{1 + k_{2,d} P_{CO} + k_{3,d} P_{CO^2}}$$

The parameters are $F_d = 0.116$ for diamond and $F_d = 0.8$ for graphite. The rate constants are as follows:

$$k_{1,d} = \exp(-15.8 + 27700/T),$$
$$k_{2,d} = \exp(-5.53 + 5680/T),$$
$$k_{3,d} = \exp(-9.49 - 24200/T).$$

It was found that the activation energies were the same as for graphite in coke, but the frequency factor was lower than that of graphite.

2) One of the main reaction site was the center of an equilateral triangle consisting of [111], which became a pit through gasification. The pit and three cracks were enlarged and became deep in accordance with gasification. The surface morphology was changed by varying the experimental conditions such as the temperature and the oxygen potential in the gas.

3) From the simultaneous reaction of diamond and graphite, it was found that the reactivity of the graphite that formed on the diamond surface was different from that of ordinary graphite. Its structure would be close to that of graphite, however, its chemical properties would be different from ordinary graphite.
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