Local Atomic Structure Analysis of Zr-Ni and Zr-Cu Metallic Glasses Using Electron Diffraction
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Local atomic structures in Zr\textsubscript{66}Ni\textsubscript{33} and Zr\textsubscript{66}Cu\textsubscript{33} metallic glasses were examined by using nanobeam electron diffraction (NBED), energy-filtered selected area electron diffraction (SAED) and high-resolution electron microscopy (HREM). Locally ordered regions of atomic medium range order (MRO) were observed in both of the specimens by NBED, although it was difficult to recognize the regions using HREM. Statistical analyses for NBED patterns revealed such a difference in the extended MRO regions between the specimens that the MRO structure in Zr\textsubscript{66}Ni\textsubscript{33} is more complex with a large dispersion of interplanar spacings than those in Zr\textsubscript{66}Cu\textsubscript{33}. To understand nearest-neighbor atomic coordination, we performed electron intensity analyses using energy-filtered SAED patterns and constructed structure models including about 5000 atoms with the help of reverse Monte Carlo simulation. The nearest-neighbor atomic environments around Ni atoms in Zr\textsubscript{66}Ni\textsubscript{33} coordination, we performed electron intensity analyses using energy-filtered SAED patterns and constructed structure models including about 5000 atoms with the help of reverse Monte Carlo simulation. The nearest-neighbor atomic environments around Ni atoms in Zr\textsubscript{66}Ni\textsubscript{33} are also different from those around Cu atoms in Zr\textsubscript{66}Cu\textsubscript{33}, consistent with the NBED study. The local structural difference between the two glasses was discussed in relation to their glass-forming abilities. \[\text{doi:10.2320/matertrans.MF200618}\]

1. Introduction

In recent years, bulk metallic glasses (BMG) have been paid much attention for their promising applications with various characteristic properties. Many attempts have been made to clarify the origin of the extremely high glass stability of the BMGs. To understand the origin, it is significant to study local atomic structures in the as-quenched state and also annealed states.\textsuperscript{1} It should be noted that the local atomic order can be classified into two-types of atomic order which are called short range order (SRO) and medium range order (MRO). According to the Elliott’s definition,\textsuperscript{2,3} moreover, the MRO structure has some hierarchies which are short-range MRO (~0.5 nm), intermediate-range MRO (0.5~1 nm), and long-range MRO (1 nm~). SRO and short-range MRO structures, which correspond to the first- and second-nearest neighbor atomic coordinations, have been revealed mainly by using neutron and X-ray diffraction methods through a pair distribution function (PDF) analysis\textsuperscript{3} or reverse Monte Carlo (RMC) simulation.\textsuperscript{4}

In several metal-metalloid systems, Hirotsu and collaborators have been examining local ordered regions extended up to 1~2 nm with bcc and fcc structures by means of high-resolution electron microscopy (HREM) and nanobeam electron diffraction (NBED) techniques.\textsuperscript{5-10} The extended ordered regions has been considered to correspond to the long-range MRO (LR-MRO) structure defined by Elliott.\textsuperscript{2} In metal-metal systems, on the other hand, LR-MRO structures have not been studied well to our best knowledge, although icosahedral-like SRO or MRO structure has been proposed.\textsuperscript{11-15} When the LR-MRO structure becomes complex, it is difficult to clarify the structural features from the HREM images. In this study, we tried to reveal LR-MRO structures in Zr\textsubscript{66}Ni\textsubscript{33} and Zr\textsubscript{66}Cu\textsubscript{33} metallic glasses by using NBED and HREM techniques. Note that these two glasses show different behaviors on annealing with a normal heating rate. The Zr\textsubscript{66}Ni\textsubscript{33} glass crystallizes without showing glass transition, whereas the Zr\textsubscript{66}Cu\textsubscript{33} glass shows a clear glass transition before crystallization. Detailed structural studies can provide useful information to understand the reason for the difference in their glass stabilities. In addition to the LR-MRO studies by NBED experiments, we also examined local atomic coordinations (SRO structures) for both the glasses by means of selected area electron diffraction (SAED) structure analysis with a help of RMC structural modeling. The glass-forming abilities of these glasses were discussed based on the characteristics of observed LR-MRO and SRO structures.

2. Experimental

The Zr\textsubscript{66}Ni\textsubscript{33} and Zr\textsubscript{66}Cu\textsubscript{33} glasses were made by a single-roll rapid quenching technique. The physical densities for Zr\textsubscript{66}Ni\textsubscript{33} and Zr\textsubscript{66}Cu\textsubscript{33} used in this study are 6.84 g/cm\textsuperscript{3} and 7.06 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}, respectively.\textsuperscript{4} The samples were thinned using low-angle ion-milling (Gatan, PIPS) and finally polished with low-voltage ion-milling (TECHNOORG LINDA, IV3/L). NBED patterns and HREM images were taken by using a JEM-3010 TEM operated at 300 kV. Energy-filtered SAED patterns for structure analyses were obtained by using energy-filtering TEM (LEO-922D operated at 200 kV) equipped with an in-column type energy filter. The inelastic part of intensity was eliminated using an energy window of about 20 eV. The NBED patterns were recorded using a TV-rate CCD camera. The SAED patterns and HREM images were recorded on imaging plates.

3. Results

Figures 1(a) and (b) show HREM images obtained from Zr\textsubscript{66}Ni\textsubscript{33} and Zr\textsubscript{66}Cu\textsubscript{33}, together with the corresponding SAED patterns. The defocus value in taking the images was about 60 nm (underfocus), which condition provides clear
images of local ordered regions. The corresponding SAED patterns show fully halo patterns without crystalline reflections. The ‘salt and pepper’ contrasts typical of amorphous structures were observed in the HREM images of these glasses. However, it is difficult to detect the local ordered regions with crossed straight lattice fringes, which are clearly observed in Pd- and Fe-based metallic glasses.5–10) In the present case, we cannot judge the presence of the local ordered regions from the HREM images.

The presence of the ordered regions can be confirmed by taking the NBED patterns with a fine electron probe (a full width at half maximum of the probe is about 1 nm), although they cannot be recognized in the HREM images. Typical NBED patterns taken from Zr_{66.7}Ni_{33.3} and Zr_{66.7}Cu_{33.3} are shown in Fig. 2(a) and (b), respectively. Although the SAED patterns show fully halo patterns, crystalline spots with relatively strong intensity are found just on a position of the first halo rings in NBED patterns. Since such spots cannot be observed from a dense random packing (DRP) structure model,10 the LR-MRO regions are judged to be included in both of the glasses.

In order to obtain structural information from the LR-MRO regions, we examined NBED patterns (more than 200) statistically for each of the glass. In these NBED patterns, we could easily eliminate strong diffraction spots from diffuse dots with weak intensities forming halo-diffraction ring (diffraction spots with intensities two times stronger than those of the diffuse dots were chosen). Figure 3 shows the frequency histograms of interplanar spacings (hereafter we call them d-spacings) of the LR-MRO regions obtained from the NBED analyses for Zr_{66.7}Ni_{33.3} and Zr_{66.7}Cu_{33.3}. Note that “0.24” of the d-spacing shows a range between 0.235 and 0.240 nm in the histograms. By using a standard specimen (a gold particle), the error of d value was estimated to be about ±0.005 nm. The dispersion of d-spacings for Zr_{66.7}Ni_{33.3} is wider than that for Zr_{66.7}Cu_{33.3}, although both of the distributions are basically centered around 0.240–0.245 nm.

In order to know SRO structures of the glasses, we also performed average structure analyses using energy-filtered SAED obtained from large selected areas (400 nm in
Plausible structure models were constructed using RMC simulation\textsuperscript{17} for both of the glasses. In constructing each structure model, we initially made a dense random packing model with 4999 atoms by static relaxation with Lennard-Jones atomic potentials which could reproduce expected inter-atomic distances of constituent atoms. Then, the RMC simulation was performed to fit the experimental interference function \(i(\mathbf{Q})\) to the experimental one. The weighting factors for each partial \(i(\mathbf{Q})\) used in the simulation were taken to be dependent on the \(\mathbf{Q}\)-vector. Figure 4 show the RMC simulated \(i(\mathbf{Q})\) profiles obtained from the final structure models for Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Ni\textsubscript{33.3} and Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Cu\textsubscript{33.3}. A small difference between these profiles is found: the \(i(\mathbf{Q})\) profile of Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Ni\textsubscript{33.3} dumps more slowly than that of Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Cu\textsubscript{33.3}. In order to understand local atomic structures, we next calculated partial-PDFs and performed Voronoi polyhedral analyses for the final structure models. Figure 5 shows partial PDFs for these glasses derived from their structure models together with the total PDFs. A split of the first peak in Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Ni\textsubscript{33.3} is found to be larger than that in Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Cu\textsubscript{33.3}, indicating a difference in local atomic environment. Such characteristic difference in PDF profiles between the Zr-Cu and Zr-Ni glasses were also pointed out by Saida et al.\textsuperscript{18}

Figure 6 shows histograms for numbers of Voronoi polyhedra surrounding Zr atoms in Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Ni\textsubscript{33.3} and Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Cu\textsubscript{33.3}. Note that total atomic numbers of Zr and Ni (Cu) atoms are 3333 and 1666, respectively. A notation of the Voronoi index was already explained in the literature.\textsuperscript{19} Around Zr atoms, types and fractions of the polyhedra are very similar between both of the glasses, where indices of “0 3 6 4 0 0” and “0 1 1 0 2 0 0” for high-coordination polyhedra are frequently found. Types of polyhedra around Ni atoms in Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Ni\textsubscript{33.3}, on the other hand, are quite different from those around Cu atoms in Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Cu\textsubscript{33.3}. The histograms around Ni and Cu atoms are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b). Around Ni atoms, a trigonal prism polyhedron denoted by “0 3 6 0 0 0” dominates and average coordination number is less than 10.

Most of polyhedra with central Cu atoms, on the other hand, are relatively close to icosahedral-like coordination of “0 2 8 1 0 0” and the average coordination number approximates to 11.

4. Discussion

In this study, we performed local structure analyses for the Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Ni\textsubscript{33.3} and Zr\textsubscript{66.7}Cu\textsubscript{33.3} metallic glasses. The NBED study revealed the presence of the LR-MRO structures for both the alloys and the characteristic difference in the d-spacing distributions of the LR-MRO structures. The average structure analyses were also performed on the basis of SAED.
intensity analyses to reveal the SRO structures. In the following, we discuss a structural relation between the SRO and LR-MRO structures in the present metallic glasses, together with related crystalline structures.

The RMC-simulated structure models reveal that the local atomic coordinations around smaller atoms (Cu and Ni) are different, although those around large Zr atoms are very similar. Around smaller atoms, Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$ forms more isotropic SRO structures in comparison with the case of Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$, because many icosahedral-like coordination polyhedra appear in Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$. In Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$, on the other hand, the predominant SRO structure is prism-type, which is relatively anisotropic structure compared with the icosahedral-like one. The above characteristics with the difference of coordination polyhedra are well consistent with a previous result obtained from a RMC simulation fitted to X-ray and neutron diffraction profiles. The statistical analyses of NBED patterns for these glasses show a difference in their dispersion of NBED spot positions, Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$, although both of the dispersions are centered around 0.240–0.245 nm. A broad d-spacing dispersion of LR-MROs in Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$ is indicative of a presence of LR-MRO regions with relatively anisotropic or complex structures as well as the SRO structure mentioned above. The main d-spacings with strong diffraction intensities found in the tetragonal Zr$_2$Ni phase, which is known as the primary phase in crystallization, and consists of prism-type structural units, are distributed widely as 0.254 nm (for {111} planes), 0.229 nm ([220]), and 0.228 nm ([112]). The wide d-spacing dispersion of LR-MROs in Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$ is probably ascribed to LR-MRO structures which are partially similar to the Zr$_2$Ni structure. It should be noted, however, that there are no planes in the crystal structure of Zr$_2$Ni, which correspond to most frequent d-spacing found in Fig. 3(a) (0.240–0.245 nm). The LR-MRO structures in Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$ are presumed to be deformed from the Zr$_2$Ni structure or partially consist of isotropic Zr-Ni clusters with d-spacings near 0.240–0.245 nm. As for Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$, on the other hand, the dispersion is relatively small, indicating that the LR-MRO structures are rather isotropic or simple as well as the SRO structure. The tetragonal Zr$_2$Cu crystalline phase which appears in the primary crystallization of the Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$ glasses has a tetragonal symmetry and main d-spacings in Zr$_2$Cu are 0.244 nm (for {103} planes) and 0.228 nm ([110]). Although the distribution of those main d-spacings for {103} in Zr$_2$Cu corresponds to that of the d-spacings observed by NBED for Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$, the frequency for d-spacing for [110] is found to be extremely small, indicating that the LR-MRO structure of Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$ does not seem to include the structural component of the tetragonal Zr$_2$Cu phase.

In the crystallization process of glass, the LR-MRO structure is considered to play an important role as a preliminary structure for nucleus. When the LR-MRO structure is similar to the structure for the primary crystallization, the crystallization is probably easily promoted kinetically. In the present study, the LR-MRO structure in Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$ is considered to be relatively similar to the Zr$_2$Ni structure, whereas there is no strong correlation between the LR-MRO structure in Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$ and the Zr$_2$Cu structure. During annealing, as was mentioned earlier, Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$ shows a clear glass transition before crystallization, while Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$ directly crystallizes into Zr$_2$Ni. The structural similarity between the LR-MRO and the primary phase in crystallization is judged to be one of the important factors to determine glass stability.

5. Conclusions

We examined LR-MRO structures in Zr-based metallic glasses by using NBED and HREM techniques, together with SAED intensity analysis with a help of RMC simulation. To clarify characteristics of the LR-MRO regions, we analyzed statistical frequencies of positions of the strong diffraction spots in NBED patterns obtained from MRO regions. It was found that the dispersion of the NBED spot positions (corresponding to the d-spacing) in Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$ is broader than that in Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$. From this result, it was expected that a MRO structure with a low structural symmetry similar to that of a tetragonal Zr$_2$Ni (the primary phase in the crystallization) is formed in Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$ and influences to the crystallization process. Whereas, from the dispersion of NBED spot positions, Zr$_{66.7}$Cu$_{33.3}$ was judged to have more isotropic MRO structures in comparison with Zr$_{66.7}$Ni$_{33.3}$. These local structures expected from the NBED results are well consistent with those of local coordination polyhedra extracted from the simulated structural models based on halo-diffraction intensity profile analyses.
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