Estimation of Maximum Solid Solubility in Mg–Hg Alloys by the Lever Rule
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The microstructures of Mg–Hg alloys with 1.1–2.9 mass% Hg were investigated in this paper. Under the non-equilibrium solidification condition, the examined Mg–Hg alloys had a two-phase structure consisting of a solid solution phase and a spheroidal-graphite iron-like divorced eutectic. Eutectic α-Mg and eutectic Mg3Hg formed separately, so the proportion of eutectic Mg3Hg could be accurately measured. A method was proposed to estimate the maximum solid solubility of Hg in Mg under the non-equilibrium solidification condition by measuring the area fraction of Mg3Hg in metallographs. The maximum solid solubility of Mg–2.4 mass% Hg was 0.81 and 0.69 mass%, with cooling rates of 0.67 and 2.0 K/s, respectively. The maximum solid solubility decreased as the cooling rate increased and increased as the Hg content increased. [doi:10.2320/matertrans.M2012272]
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1. Introduction

Magnesium alloys as anodes of seawater batteries are widely used in torpedoes, pharos and life jackets due to their high specific energy, long storage life, stable discharge ability and rapid activation.1) The currently used magnesium alloy anode materials include mainly Mg–Al alloys, such as AZ31, AZ61 and AP65.2) AZ31 and AZ61 are not suitable as battery anodes in some systems. The specific energy of a battery with AP65 is less than 100 Wh/kg.3) Mg–Hg alloys with 1–3 mass% Hg are among the best anode materials for seawater batteries.4) Intermetallic compound Mg3Hg, which formed by adding an appropriate amount of Hg, could inhibit microgalvanic corrosion and prevent the formation of thick corrosion product films on the matrix surfaces; the second phase of Mg3Hg can also activate the magnesium matrix, improve peak voltage and effective discharge time. For magnesium alloy anode materials, the content of alloying elements is an important factor in determining performance. Magnesium alloys with the alloying element’s content near its maximum solubility have better electrochemical performance.5) When the content of Hg exceeds the maximum solid solubility, Hg is detrimental to corrosion performance and accelerates microgalvanic corrosion.6) Under the equilibrium solidification condition, the solid solution of Hg in Mg is 3.6 mass% at 721 K and 0.9 mass% at 673 K.7) The actual solidification conditions for preparing Mg–Hg alloys are mostly non-equilibrium. Understanding the change in maximum solid solubility under non-equilibrium is important in improving the electrochemical properties of Mg–Hg alloys. Vyazer measured the partial vapor pressure of Hg and determined that the solid solution of Hg in Mg is 0.93 mass% at 721 K with a 0.24 K/s cooling rate.8)

Mercury poisoning is a disease caused by prolonged contacting simple substance Hg or inhaling of Hg vapor. Because toxic element of Hg is used in this product, the safety of using and producing these alloys must be considered. Alloys used in these products only have little content of Hg. Hg element exists in the form of solid solution and intermetallic compound, no simple substance exists in alloys. Users can’t direct contact with these products, which only used as battery anode plates. In order to reduce the generation of Hg vapor in production process, the intermediate alloys were prepared using the following method. A hole was drilled in the surface of a magnesium block, in which a certain weight of Hg was placed. Then the hole was plugged with a magnesium stopper. The block was placed in resistance furnace filled with Ar atmosphere and was heated at 600 K for 30 min to form the Mg–Hg master alloy.

2. Experimental

Because Hg is volatile at high temperatures, Mg–Hg master alloys with 10 mass% Hg were pre-prepared. The method of master alloy preparation was described earlier.6) The other alloys were obtained by diluting this master alloy. This method not only ensures accurate compositions but also guarantees identical nucleation conditions. The steel mold was in the shape of a diameter cylinder as shown in Fig. 1. The cooling rates of the alloys were determined by measuring the secondary dendrite arm spacing and using the eq. (1), where D is the secondary dendrite arm spacing, V is the cooling rate, β is a constant which is equal to 100 in this casting system.9)

\[ D = \beta V^{-1/3} \]  

The results were 0.67 K/s near the center (sample A in Fig. 1) and 2.0 K/s near the edge (sample B in Fig. 1). For non-equilibrium solidification, cooling rate is an important parameter. The larger the cooling rate, the greater the compositions of primary α-Mg deviation from the equilibrium phase diagram. Mg–Hg alloys are susceptible to corrosion, so polishing requires the use of alcohol.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the as-cast microstructures of the Mg–Hg alloys with different Hg contents. Metallographic analysis shows that the microstructures of the Mg–Hg alloys with Hg contents ranging from 1.1 to 2.9 mass% are similar. The main area is primary α-Mg (panel A). Eutectic phases distribute...
not only at the grain boundaries but also inside the grains. The eutectic morphology of Mg–Hg alloys is divorced eutectic, similar to spheroidal-graphite iron.\(^ {10}\) The spherical particles were eutectic Mg\(_3\)Hg (panel B), which were completely surrounded by the eutectic \(\alpha\)-Mg (panel C). As shown in Fig. 2, the amount of eutectic Mg\(_3\)Hg increased with as the Hg content increased. Increasing the Hg content to 2.4 mass\% changes the eutectic at the grain boundary from a discontinuous to a continuous network.

The complete Mg–Hg phase diagram is very complex.\(^ {11}\) The alloys studied in this paper only appear within the hypoeutectic region shown in Fig. 3. According to the Mg–Hg equilibrium phase diagram, the eutectic at the Mg end consisted of \(\alpha\)-Mg and Mg\(_3\)Hg. The maximum solid solubility at the eutectic temperature is approximately 3.6 mass\% Hg. All of the alloys used in this experiment were below the maximum solid solubility; therefore, the microstructure should be only \(\alpha\)-Mg. The presence of eutectic phases in these alloys suggests irregular solidification.

Lipchin showed that even with an extremely slow cooling rate (0.0083 K/s), the eutectic of the Mg–Hg alloy with 3 mass\% Hg precipitates in the grain boundaries.\(^ {12}\) A similar phenomenon was observed in a Mg–Al system, in which the maximum solid solubility of Al decreased from 12.6 to 2 mass\% with cooling rate of 0.017 K/s.\(^ {13}\)

From the non-equilibrium phase diagram shown in Fig. 3, eq. (2) can be obtained through the lever rule.\(^ {14}\)

\[
\frac{M_{Mg}}{M_E} = \frac{C_E - C_0}{C_0 - C_S} \quad (2)
\]

\(M_{Mg}\) is the mass fraction of primary \(\alpha\)-Mg at the eutectic temperature, \(M_E\) is the mass fraction of the eutectic at the eutectic temperature, \(C_E\) is the Hg content at the eutectic point, \(C_0\) is the Hg content of the Mg–Hg alloy, and \(C_S\) is the maximum solid solution of Hg in Mg under the non-equilibrium solidification condition.

When the eutectic transformation of Mg–Hg alloy occurs, eutectic \(\alpha\)-Mg and eutectic Mg\(_3\)Hg form separately. \(M_E\) could be divided into \(M_{MH}\) and \(M_{E-Mg}\), where \(M_{MH}\) is the mass fraction of eutectic Mg\(_3\)Hg and \(M_{E-Mg}\) is the mass fraction of eutectic \(\alpha\)-Mg.

The mass fraction of eutectic Mg\(_3\)Hg can be calculated with the lever rule.

\[
\frac{M_{MH}}{M_E} = \frac{C_0 - C'_{S}}{C_{MH} - C'_{S}} \quad (3)
\]

The mass of the unit can be assumed to be 1.

\[
M_{Mg} = 1 - M_E \quad (4)
\]

Substituting eqs. (3) and (4) into eq. (2).

\[
M_{MH} = \frac{C_0 - C'_{S}}{C_{MH} - C'_{S}} \quad (5)
\]

If the distribution of Mg\(_3\)Hg in the alloy is uniform, then the volume ratio of Mg\(_3\)Hg is equal to the area ratio. The volume ratio of \(V_{MH}\) to \(V\) can be written as eq. (6).
V_{MH} = \frac{M_{MH} \cdot \rho}{\rho_{MH}} = \frac{(C_0 - C_S) \cdot \rho}{(C_{MH} - C_{MH}) \cdot \rho_{MH}} = \frac{S_{MH}}{S} (6)

\rho_{MH} \) is the density of Mg$_3$Hg and \( \rho \) is the density of Mg–Hg alloys.

The maximum solid solution of Hg in Mg under the non-equilibrium solidification condition can be expressed as eq. (7).

\[
C_S = \frac{S_{MH}}{S} \cdot \frac{\rho_{MH} \cdot C_{MH} - C_0 \cdot \rho}{\rho_{MH} - \rho}
\]

\( S_{MH} / S \) is the area fraction of eutectic Mg$_3$Hg. Because the morphology of the Mg–Hg alloy is a divorced eutectic, \( \alpha \)-Mg and Mg$_3$Hg form separately (Fig. 4). \( S_{MH} / S \) can be obtained using quantitative metallography methods by measuring the area fraction of Mg$_3$Hg in metallographs. Quantitative metallographic analysis was carried out by Image-Pro Plus software. \( S_{MH} \) is the sum of the area of 8 circles in Fig. 4, and \( S = a \times b \).

According to eq. (7), the maximum solid solubility of Hg in Mg was calculated and is shown in Fig. 5. Under the non-equilibrium solidification condition, the diffusion of Hg in primary \( \alpha \)-Mg was not sufficient, so the maximum solid solubility shifted towards a lower Hg content.

The maximum solid solubility of Mg–2.4 mass% Hg under the non-equilibrium solidification condition was 0.81 and 0.69 mass% with cooling rates of 0.67 and 2 K/s, respectively. The maximum solid solubility decreased as cooling rate increased and increased as Hg content increased. These results show that the maximum solid solubility of Hg in Mg under the non-equilibrium solidification condition relates to the diffusion process of the Hg element. Reducing the cooling rate and increasing the Hg content promotes the diffusion of Hg into the solid phase and increases the solubility limit.

This method of estimating the shifting distance of the maximum solid solubility is not restricted to Mg–Hg alloys. If there exists a divorced eutectic and the area fraction of the second phase can be measured, then the shifting distance of the maximum solid solubility can be estimated.

4. Conclusions

Mg–Hg alloys with up to 2.9 mass% Hg have a two-phase structure consisting of a solid solution phase and a divorced eutectic, which precipitates at the grain boundaries. As the Hg content increased, the amount of eutectic Mg$_3$Hg increased and the eutectic at the grain boundary changed from a discontinuous to a continuous network. A method is proposed to estimate the maximum solid solubility of Hg in Mg under the non-equilibrium solidification condition. The maximum solid solubility decreased as the cooling rate increased and increased as the Hg content increased.

REFERENCES