Effects of Powder Shape and Processing Parameters on Heat Dissipation of Heat Pipes with Sintered Porous Wicks
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The thermal performance of sintered-type heat pipes can be determined from their permeability, capillary pressure, and capillary speed. These characteristics are closely related to the pore structure, which is influenced by the powder used. To investigate the effects of powder shape on the heat dissipation of a heat pipe, gas atomized, water atomized, and electrolytic copper powders were used in this study. The results showed that the gas atomized spherical powder, despite having the lowest porosity, provided the highest permeability and capillary speed and thus the best heat dissipation. The water atomized irregular powder had a smaller permeability, slightly higher capillary speed, and better thermal performance compared to dendritic electrolytic powder. These results suggest that capillary speed is favorable over the permeability for evaluating whether a copper powder is suitable for heat pipe applications or not. The geometrical factor in the Kozeny-Carman permeability equation, which takes into account the effective pore length, pore surface roughness, and tortuosity, could vary from the 250 of the spherical powder to the 3108 of the dendritic powder for compacts with similar permeabilities, showing the effect of powder shape. The processing parameters, compacting pressure and sintering temperature, were also important. Compacts that were loose-powder-sintered at high temperatures showed higher permeability than those using compaction and low temperature sintering due to the differences in the pore surface roughness. These results demonstrate that the thermal performance of heat pipes is closely related to the powder shape and the process used, in addition to the effects of particle size and particle size distribution.
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1. Introduction

Heat pipes with sintered porous structures have been used widely to resolve the thermal management problems of electronic devices, such as notebook computers.1,2 To provide effective cooling, the water at one end of the sealed heat pipe, which is under partial vacuum, evaporates into vapor that cools the hot device by using the latent heat, and the water vapor then passes through the hollow channel in the core of the heat pipe to the cold end, where the water vapor condenses. This condensed water is then transported back to the hot evaporator section through the capillary pressure in porous copper wicks, which are sinter-bonded to the outer copper tubing.2,3 To ensure high heat dissipation, high thermally conductive copper tubing, high enough vacuum in the heat pipe, rapid cooling at the condenser end, and fast enough supply of water through the copper wicks are all required. This study presents the results of how powder shape affects the water supply rate in the heat pipe.

To provide effective water supply, the porous structure must contain large amounts of pores so that enough water can be supplied continuously from the condenser section to the evaporator section. In addition, the pore size must be small enough so that the capillary pressure is high enough to draw the water through the porous wick, sometimes even against gravity. These requirements can be assessed by the permeability, capillary pressure, and capillary speed, which can be described by the following equation:

$$K = \frac{\frac{D^2\varepsilon^3}{C(1-\varepsilon)^2}}{(1-\varepsilon)^2}$$  

where $D$ is the pore diameter, $\varepsilon$ is the porosity, $S$ is the specific surface area, and $C$ is the geometrical factor in the Kozeny-Carman equation.

$$P = \gamma_v \cos(\theta)S \rho \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$$

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \left(\frac{r^2}{8\pi\eta h}\right) \left[\gamma_v \cos(\theta)S \rho \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2}\right) - \rho gh\right]$$

In eq. (1), which is commonly referred to as the Kozeny-Carman equation, the $D$ is the particle size, $\varepsilon$ is the porosity, and $C$ is a geometrical factor depending on the powder and process used and the resulting tortuosity of the pore structure. In the capillary pressure ($P$) equation, $\gamma_v$ is the surface tension at the liquid-vapor interface, $\theta$ is the contact angle, $S$ is the specific surface area, and $\rho$ is the density of the solid. In eq. (3), $h$ is the capillary rise distance, $r$ is the effective pore radius, $g$ is the gravitational acceleration, $\eta$ is the dynamic viscosity of water.

Of these three equations, the capillary speed has been recommended for evaluating whether a copper powder is suitable for heat pipe applications or not.5,6 To use the capillary speed, eq. (3), the effective pore radius $r$ is a critical factor, and it can be measured from the capillary rise height,2,3,7 mercury porosimetry data,7,8 or quantitative metallography result,5 or, alternatively, estimated from the following equation for the so-called hydraulic radius:2,9

$$r_h = \frac{\text{pore volume}}{\text{pore surface area}}$$

$$r_h = \frac{V_p}{S\rho V_s}$$

where $V_p$ and $V_s$ are the volumes of pores and solids, respectively. Thus,

$$r_h = \frac{\varepsilon}{S\rho (1-\varepsilon)}$$

Since the specific surface area of a powder can be approximated by
Estimated to be in the range of effective pore radii and tortuosities, which have been roughness could be quite different. As a result, different adjusted to the same value, but the pore shape and surface compacts that are made with the same powder could be different shapes after sintering. Furthermore, when different temperatures are used for sintering, the sintered densities of two different shapes could have similar porosity but different pore characteristics, but their effects on the overall heat pipe performances are still not well understood, a systematic study on these subjects is desirable.

In addition to the particle size and particle size distribution, the tortuosity factor, \( \tau \), and particle shape factor, \( \lambda \), in eq. (10) also indicate that the morphology of the pore, such as the pore shape, surface roughness, and pore length, are critical features too. These features could be influenced by the powder and/or the processing parameters used. For example, two powders with the same particle size but with different shapes could have similar porosity but different pore shapes after sintering. Furthermore, when different temperatures are used for sintering, the sintered densities of two compacts that are made with the same powder could be adjusted to the same value, but the pore shape and surface roughness could be quite different. As a result, different effective pore radii and tortuosities, which have been estimated to be in the range of \( \sqrt{2} \) to 7.5.11-13 will be attained. Leong et al. demonstrated by using high temperature sintering that a more regular pore shape and less small pores were obtained, characteristics which are favorable for more effective fluid flow. But the high sintered density resulting from the high temperature sintering could equalize the favored effect of the large pore size and regular pore shape and give a low permeability.5 The capillary performance of flat heat pipes with microgrooves was also shown to be more effective when the wetting distance was increased with chemical etching to form microcavities on the surface.14 These previous studies have suggested that the powder shape and processing parameters will influence the pore characteristics, but their effects on the overall heat pipe performances were still not clear. Since the effects of surface roughness, porosity, and pore size, which are determined by the powder shape and processing parameters selected, are still not well understood, a systematic study on these subjects is desirable. In this work, three Cu powders with different powder shapes and two processing parameters, compacting pressure and
that temperature for 3.6 ks. For the heat dissipation test, flat specimens were made by pouring copper powders into a stamped rectangular container, which was made of 0.2 mm thick oxygen-free Cu sheets. The cavity was 200 mm long, 19.5 mm wide, and 1.4 mm deep. The Cu-powder-filled container was heated at 0.083 K/s to 1123 K in hydrogen and sintered for 3.6 ks. After sintering, the sintered density was calculated based on the weight and volume of the specimen.

To investigate the effect of surface roughness of pores on permeability, two groups of compacts with the same sintered density of $4.80 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$ were produced using the same water atomized Cu powders. The first group was pressed using a pressure of 27.5 MPa and then sintered at a low temperature of 973 K for 3.6 ks. These low-temperature-sintered specimens were designated as LT specimens. The second group was produced using the loose powder sintering technique, without any compaction, and the sintering was carried out at 1073 K for 3.6 ks. These loose-powder-sintered specimens were designated as high temperature (HT) specimens. To examine these LT and HT specimens under a scanning electron microscope (JSM-T100, JEOL Co., Tokyo, Japan), the sintered parts were immersed in liquid nitrogen and 30 mm wide heating plate. A thin layer of thermal glue was applied to ensure good contact between the heating plate and the specimen, which were held together with a C-clamp. The performance of the heat dissipation was evaluated by comparing the temperature at the evaporator end under a fixed power input and by observing whether a dry-out phenomenon occurred.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Effect of powder shape on permeability

Figure 3 shows the flow rates of water in the Cu powder compacts that were sintered at 973 K and 1123 K. The densities of the dendritic, irregular, and spherical powder compacts that were loose-powder-sintered at 1123 K were 2.77, 4.92, and $5.35 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$, respectively. The corresponding permeabilities were $0.80 \times 10^{-12}$, $0.34 \times 10^{-12}$, and $4.50 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2$, and the resultant C values in eq. (1) were 1905, 1909, and 176. These curves demonstrate that the spherical powder yielded the highest permeability, even though it had high sintered density, followed by the dendritic powder and the irregular powder.

Also shown in Fig. 3 are the curves with similar permeabilities. When dendritic powder was loose-powder-sintered at 973 K, the density was $1.78 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and the permeability was $1.92 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^2$. To attain this permeability, or one close to it, the irregular powder was loose-powder-sintered at 973 K and the density was about $3.59 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$. For the spherical powder, the density was about $5.61 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$, which was made by tapping the powder-filled graphite mold and then sintering at 1123 K. With these porosity and particle size data, as summarized in Table 2, the geometrical factor, C, in the permeability eq. (1) could be calculated and used as an indicator to describe the variations in the pore structure. As shown in Table 2, the C value of the spherical gas atomized powder is 250, close to the theoretical value of 180 for spherical particles. However, the C values of the water atomized and electrolytic powders were very high, at 1445 and 3108, respectively.
To elucidate the causes of this difference in C values, the variations in density and particle size need to be minimized so that the effects of other factors, such as powder shape, can be examined more exclusively. Thus, the three powders were made into cylindrical compacts with the same density of $5.61 \times 10^3$ kg/m$^3$. Figure 4 shows that the spherical gas atomized powder produced the highest permeability, $2.28 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$, followed by the $0.28 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ of the irregular water atomized powder and the $0.023 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$ of the dendritic electrolytic powder, and the corresponding ratio was 99 : 2 : 1 : 2. Since the effect of density variation had been eliminated, the next main possible variation causing the great difference in permeability was the particle size. However, the particle sizes of the gas atomized, water atomized, and electrolytic powders were 65.4, 46.2, and 21.4 µm, respectively, and the ratio was 3 : 1 : 2. Using the square of this particle size ratio and eq. (1), the large difference in the permeability, as shown in Fig. 4, or the ratio of 99 : 2 : 1 : 2, could not be explained satisfactorily.

The pore sizes, which are related to the particle sizes, of these three sintered compacts were then examined using a mercury porosimeter. The average pore diameters were 17.16, 8.18, and 3.27 µm for the spherical, irregular, and dendritic powders, respectively, as shown in Fig. 5. The differences in pore size can help explain the permeability differences.
ratios of these pore sizes were still too small to explain the large differences in the measured permeabilities. Since the porosity and the particle size, which is related to the pore size, have been considered in eq. (1), the large difference in permeabilities must be attributed to the geometrical factor of the pore structure. Since water atomized powder and electrolytic powder could produce more irregular pore shapes and rougher pore surfaces, as shown in Fig. 6, it is not surprising that their geometrical factors, $C$, are very different.

### 3.2 Effect of powder shape on capillary speed

The capillary speed has been recommended for evaluating whether a copper powder is suitable for heat pipe applications because it is directly related to the water supply rate. To measure the capillary speed, the loosely packed powders in the stamped container were sintered at 1123 K for 3.6 ks in hydrogen, yielding sintered densities of 2.29, 4.18, and 5.48 $\times 10^3$ kg/m$^3$ for dendritic, irregular, and spherical powders, respectively. Using the capillary rise heights of these flat specimens, Fig. 7 shows that the spherical powder yielded the fastest climb rate, followed closely by the irregular powder and the dendritic powder. The slopes of these curves are the capillary speeds and are shown in Fig. 8. Also plotted are the theoretical curves calculated using eq. (10) with a tortuosity factor ($\tau$) of $\sqrt{2}$ and a particle shape factor ($\lambda$) of 1 and 2. The experimental data show that the capillary speed of the spherical powder can be represented by the equation with $\lambda = 1$. For irregular and dendritic powders, which had large geometrical factors ($C$ in eq. (1)) due to the more irregular pore structures and the rougher pore surfaces, as shown in Fig. 6, the measured capillary speed data agree with the curves calculated using a higher particle shape factor ($\lambda$). For the irregular powder, the measured data were close to the curve with $1 < \lambda < 2$. For dendritic powder, the data were close to the curve with $\lambda = 2$, as shown in Fig. 8. These good agreements suggest that eq. (10) can be used to estimate the capillary speed of water in sintered porous wicks, not only for spherical powders but also for non-spherical powders.

It is also noted in Fig. 8 that the differences in the capillary speed of the three powders were not significant, within a factor of 1.33, despite their large differences in permeability, with a ratio of 2.3 : 1 : 13.2 (0.80, 0.34, and $4.50 \times 10^{-12}$ m$^2$) for the dendritic, irregular and spherical powders, respectively, as were measured on the cylindrical compacts that were sintered at 1123 K. To check if this discrepancy in the correlation between capillary speed and permeability was related to the capillary pressure, the mean pore radii of the cylindrical compacts prepared using the dendritic, irregular, and spherical powders were measured using a mercury porosimeter and determined to be 8.9, 9.2, and 22.1 $\mu$m, respectively, as shown in Fig. 9. The small pore radii of the dendritic and irregular powder compacts, which give high capillary pressure, must have counteracted their low permeability and thus only show a small difference in capillary speed as compared to that of the spherical powder. This indicates that the heat dissipation of heat pipes cannot be determined solely by the permeability or capillary pressure. The capillary speed, which takes into account both permeability and capillary pressure and is directly related to the water supply rate, is considered a better method for the evaluation of copper powders for heat pipe applications.

---

Fig. 6 The morphology of the pore structure in the compacts sintered at 1073 K. (a) Dendritic, (b) irregular, and (c) spherical powder.

Fig. 7 The capillary rise heights of the flat specimens that were prepared using powders with different shapes.

Fig. 8 The capillary speed data for dendritic, irregular, and spherical powders, with theoretical curves calculated using eq. (10) with tortuosity factor ($\tau$) of $\sqrt{2}$ and particle shape factor ($\lambda$) of 1 and 2.
3.3 Effect of processing parameters on permeability

Figure 10 shows that the permeability of the high temperature sintered (HT) sample, \(3.31 \times 10^{-13} \text{m}^2\), was higher than that of the compacted and low-temperature-sintered (LT) sample, \(1.10 \times 10^{-13} \text{m}^2\). Since both HT and LT specimens used the same water atomized powder and their sintered densities were the same, \(80 \times 10^3 \text{kg/m}^3\), the difference in the measured permeability must be caused by the different \(C\) values in eq. (1), which could be influenced by factors such as surface roughness and effective pore length. The calculated \(C\)'s of the HT and LT specimens were 2249 and 6768, respectively. These differences can be rationalized in Fig. 11, in which the LT specimen shows a much rougher powder surface than that of the HT specimen. These morphology differences caused the difference in the effective pore size, as measured using the mercury porosimeter. As illustrated in Fig. 12, the LT specimen had a smaller pore size of 4.80 \(\mu\text{m}\) and a slightly narrower pore size distribution, both of which are favorable for high permeability. The bubble test also showed that the HT sample had a maximum pore size of 10.28 \(\mu\text{m}\), larger than the 7.65 \(\mu\text{m}\) of the LT sample, both of which are slightly higher than those of the porosimetry data.

Since both the HT and LT specimens were prepared with the same water atomized powder and the sintered densities were the same, their pore shape, pore length, and tortuosity should not be very different. The above results of permeability and geometrical factor, \(C\), of the HT and LT specimens along with the data in section 3.1 therefore suggest that the surface roughness could be the most important factor in determining the geometrical factor of sintered porous material.
3.4 Effect of powder shape on heat dissipation

The flat specimens that were used for capillary speed measurement were also used for the heat dissipation measurement. Under the fixed power input of 50 watts, the specimen that was made with the spherical powder had the lowest temperature at the evaporator zone, and the specimen made with the dendritic powder had the highest, as shown in Fig. 13. The effect of powder shape on heat dissipation was also demonstrated by measuring the water heights of the flat specimens to see if the dry-out phenomenon occurred. Figure 14 shows that dry-out occurred on all powders, with the dendritic powder the most serious, followed by the irregular and spherical powders. However, the difference was small, similar to that observed in the capillary speed.

Calculations using eq. (2) indicated that the capillary pressure is usually high enough to draw water from the condenser section to the evaporator section in most heat pipes. Thus, permeability has become the main factor in determining the heat dissipation performance in many cases. But the heat dissipation results of this study show that the dendritic powder has a permeability of $0.80 \times 10^{-12} \text{m}^2$, higher than the $0.34 \times 10^{-12} \text{m}^2$ of the irregular powder, as shown in Table 3, but a poorer thermal performance. This shows that permeability alone cannot be used to predict the heat dissipation of a heat pipe. This study demonstrates that capillary speed is a better method for determining the suitability of a copper powder for heat pipe applications.

4. Conclusions

The effects of powder shape, compacting pressure, and sintering temperature on the permeability, capillary speed, and thermal performance of sintered heat pipes were examined. The following are the concluding remarks.

(1) With the loose powder sintering process, the gas atomized powder with a spherical shape showed the highest permeability, capillary speed, and the best heat dissipation, followed by water atomized powder with an irregular shape and electrolytic powder with a dendritic shape.
(2) The capillary speed was shown to have a good correlation with the heat dissipation results and is favorable over the permeability or capillary pressure for evaluating whether a copper powder is suitable for heat pipe applications or not.

(3) The capillary speeds of the three powders are quite close, within a factor of 1.33, in spite of the big difference, up to 13.2 times, in their permeability. This is because the dendritic and irregular powders provide high capillary pressures that offset their low permeabilities and thus have capillary speeds close to that of the spherical powder.

(4) The geometrical factors in the Kozney-Carman equation were 250, 1445, and 3108, for compacts with similar permeability that were made from spherical, irregular, and dendritic powder, respectively. The large deviations from the geometrical factor of 180 of the perfectly spherical powder indicate that the effect of powder shape on the permeability could be even more important than that of the particle size and porosity in the equation.

(5) The permeability could be improved by providing a smooth pore surface, as was demonstrated by using the same water atomized powder with different compacting pressures and sintering temperatures. This suggests that the surface roughness could be more important than the pore length, tortuosity, and pore shape in determining the geometrical factor, C, in the Kozney-Carman equation.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tap Density, kg/m(^3)</th>
<th>Sintered Density, kg/m(^3)</th>
<th>Porosity, %</th>
<th>Pore Size(^*,) (\mu m)</th>
<th>Capillary Speed(^*,) mm/s</th>
<th>Permeability(^*,) (10^{-12} m^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dendritic</td>
<td>2.17 \times 10^3</td>
<td>2.29 \times 10^3</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>3.52 \times 10^3</td>
<td>4.18 \times 10^3</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical</td>
<td>5.62 \times 10^3</td>
<td>5.48 \times 10^3</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>22.09</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\)measured using the loose-powder-sintered cylindrical compact
\(^*\)measured at the top position of the vertical flat specimen