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Bulk Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10-x}$B$_{x}$ ($x = 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5$ and $10 \text{at}\%$) alloys with hard magnetic properties were prepared by an arc melting method. The bulk alloys have weights of 10 and 100 mg with button shapes of 1 and 6 mm in thickness, respectively. The X-ray diffraction and TEM observation data reveal that the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$ bulk alloy exhibits an amorphous phase while the other alloys consist of partial crystalline and amorphous phases. The bulk Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$ amorphous alloy of 1 mm in thickness exhibits crystallization temperature ($T_c$) of 802 K, eutectic temperature ($T_e$) of 925 K, and reduced crystallization temperature ($T_c/T_e$) of 0.87. The alloy exhibits hard magnetic properties at 298 K, i.e., saturation magnetization of 0.13 T, remanance of 0.09 T, and intrinsic coercive filed of 275 kA/m under an applied field of 1242 kA/m. These thermal and magnetic properties are nearly the same as those for the corresponding bulk amorphous cylinders of 1 to 12 mm in diameter prepared by copper mold casting. The replacement of Al with B in Nd–Fe–Al amorphous alloy causes a decrease in amorphous-forming ability (AFA), although their hard magnetic properties remain almost unchanged. The reason for the decrease in AFA was analyzed using mixing enthalpy ($H_{mix}$) and mismatch entropy ($S_{mix}$) corresponding the empirical criteria for the achievement of high AFA. Furthermore, amorphous-forming composition regions (AFCRs) were calculated by giving a limitation for formation of an amorphous phase to $\Delta H_{mix}$ and $S_{mix}$ values, which arises from the statistics for 6500 amorphous alloys listed in a database. The calculated AFCR of the Nd–Fe–Al and Nd–Fe–B alloy systems agrees with the experimental data reported previously. The decrease in AFA by the replacement of Al with B in the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10-x}$B$_{x}$ alloys is interpreted from the result that Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$ is located near the edge of the AFCR in Nd–Fe–B system.
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1. Introduction

Recently, bulk metallic glasses have been prepared in a number of multicomponent alloy systems consisting of ferrous and non-ferrous types.\(^{1}\) The former type is represented by ferrous-group base multicomponent glasses,\(^{11}\) and the latter is exemplified by La-, Zr-, Pd-, Cu- and Cu-based metallic glasses.\(^{11}\) As one group of ferrous non-crystalline alloys, one can list Nd–Fe–Al\(^{2, 3}\) and Pr–Fe–Al\(^{4}\) amorphous alloys with hard magnetic properties. These lanthanide metal-iron based alloys are classified as amorphous alloys from the scientific definition because of the absence of glass transition point which is characteristic of metallic glasses. The other features of these amorphous alloys have been reported as follows: (1) high amorphous-forming ability (AFA) which enables us to fabricate the bulk amorphous alloys with a maximum diameter of 12 mm,\(^{31}\) (2) significant change in magnetic properties with sample thicknesses. That is, the ribbon specimens with a thickness of approximately 20 μm exhibit soft magnetism, while the bulk specimens exhibit hard magnetism with coercive force ranging 300 to 400 kA/m at room temperature,\(^{2-4}\) (3) rather high reduced crystallization temperature ($T_c/T_e$) of nearly 0.90\(^{2-4}\) where $T_c$ and $T_e$ are onset temperature of crystallization and eutectic temperature, respectively.

As far as the fabrication methods for metallic glasses are concerned, the following solidification techniques have been reported: (1) water quenching,\(^{5-9}\) arc-melt casting,\(^{10}\) unidirectional melting,\(^{11}\) suction casting,\(^{12-14}\) squeeze casting,\(^{15}\) clamp-melt casting,\(^{16}\) centrifugal casting.\(^{17}\) Among these fabrication methods, the conventional copper mold casting method has been most widely utilized for fabricating almost all bulk metallic glasses found to date. Other methods have been selected depending on glass-forming ability and demands of the shape and dimension of glassy alloys. For instance, the suction casting method was used for producing the Nd–Fe–Al bulk amorphous alloys with a diameter of 12 mm.\(^{31}\) The water-quenching method was used for large-scale Pd–Cu–Ni–P metallic glasses,\(^{7, 8}\) and the clamp-melt casting method\(^{16}\) was developed for mass production of plate-shaped samples. In the techniques developed for producing the bulk metallic glasses, the most convenient method is the arc-melt\(^{10}\) which can be applied to Zr–Al–Ni–Cu metallic glasses. Since the cooling rate of molten alloy in this method is lower than those in the others, the formation of the Zr-based bulk metallic glasses by this method is greatly attributable to high glass-forming ability of the Zr-based alloys. As described above, the Nd–Fe–Al amorphous alloys also have high AFA which enables us to fabricate bulk amorphous alloys up to 12 mm in diameter, and hence bulk amorphous alloys may be prepared by arc melting. In addition to the Nd–Fe–Al system, Nd–Fe–(Al, B) alloys with hard magnetic properties are expected to be formed in a bulk shape because Al and B belong to the same group in the periodic table.

The purposes of this paper are (1) to prepare Nd–Fe–(Al, B) bulk amorphous alloys by arc melting, (2) to measure magnetic properties of the Nd–Fe–(Al, B) alloys, and (3) to investigate AFA in comparison with calculated amorphous-forming composition ranges (AFCRs) of Nd–Fe–Al and Nd–Fe–B systems.

2. Methods

2.1 Experimental method

Ternary alloys with compositions Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10-x}$B$_{x}$ ($x = 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5$ and $10 \text{at}\%$) were prepared by arc melting a mix-
ture of pure Nd, Fe and Al metals and pure crystal B in an argon atmosphere. A pre-alloyed ingot of approximately 10 g in weight was crashed into fragments, and the pieces were subjected to subsequent arc melting to prepare a button-shaped alloy. Thus, the bulk alloys with weights of 10 and 100 mg corresponding to about 1 and 6 mm in thickness, respectively, were obtained.

The structure of the bulk alloys was examined by X-ray diffractometry and TEM. The thermal stability associated with crystallization and other specific temperatures were examined at a heating rate of 0.67 K/s by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Magnetization and coercive field under an applied field of 1242 kA/m were measured at room temperature. Internal stress were calculated on the basis of their definition formulae. 18, 19, 21) In accordance with the definition shown in eq. (2), \( \Delta H^{\text{elastic}} \) was taken into account only for a solid solution.\(^{18, 19, 21}\)

\[
\Delta H^{\text{elastic}} = \frac{2 K_i G_j (W_i - W_j)^2}{4 G_i W_i + 3 K_i W_j}.
\]  

An amorphous phase was assumed to be formed at a composition where \( \Delta H^{\text{amor}} \ll \Delta H^{ss} \) using eqs. (1) and (2).\(^{18, 19}\) The parameters used for the calculation are summarized in Table 1.

2.2 Calculation method

The calculation method of an amorphous-forming composition range (AFCR) was the same as the method used in previous studies.\(^{18, 19}\) In the calculation, the following five kinds of enthalpy terms were taken into account: enthalpies for chemical mixing \( \Delta H^{\text{chem}} \), amorphous state \( \Delta H^{\text{amor}} \), solid solutions \( \Delta H^{ss} \), topology \( \Delta H^{\text{topological}} \) and elastic \( \Delta H^{\text{elastic}} \). The definition formulae for \( \Delta H^{\text{amor}} \), \( \Delta H^{ss} \) and \( \Delta H^{\text{topological}} \) were given as eqs. (1) to (3),\(^{18-21}\)

\[
\Delta H^{\text{amor}} = \Delta H^{\text{chem}} \text{ (amor)} + \Delta H^{\text{topological}},
\]

\[
\Delta H^{ss} = \Delta H^{\text{chem}} \text{ (s.s) } + \Delta H^{\text{elastic}},
\]

and

\[
\Delta H^{\text{topological}} = 3.5 \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i T_m^i.
\]

Here, \( c_i \) is the composition of the \( i \)-th element and \( T_m^i \) is its melting temperature. In eqs. (1) and (2), \( \Delta H^{\text{chem}} \) was defined as eq. (4): the sum of the mixing enthalpy of the constituent binary subsystem \( \Delta H_{ij}^{\text{chem}} \) with respect to the composition of the constituent elements based on the regular solution model. For a solid solution and an amorphous phase, \( \Delta H^{\text{chem}} \) was defined as eqs. (5) and (6), respectively, using the interfacial enthalpy \( \Delta H^{\text{int.}} \).\(^{18, 19}\)

\[
\Delta H^{\text{chem}} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta_{ij}^{\text{chem}} c_i c_j,
\]

\[
\Delta H_{ij}^{\text{chem}} (s.s) = x_i^s \Delta H^{\text{int.}}_{ij} + x_j^s \Delta H^{\text{int.}}_{ji},
\]

\[
\Delta H_{ij}^{\text{chem}} \text{ (amor)} = f_i^a \Delta H^{\text{int.}}_{ij} + f_j^a \Delta H^{\text{int.}}_{ji},
\]

\[
x_i^s = \frac{c_i V_i^{2/3}}{c_i V_i^{2/3} + c_j V_j^{2/3}}, \quad x_j^s = 1 - x_i^s.
\]

\[
f_i^a = x_i^a [1 + 5(x_i^a x_j^a)^2].
\]

Here, \( x^s \) is the surface fraction and defined by eq. (7)\(^{18-21}\) with a molar volume of \( i \)-th atom \( V_i \), which is taken from a previous study.\(^{21}\) In eq. (8), \( f^a \) is the degree to which \( i \)-th atoms are in contact with \( j \)-th atoms,\(^{20, 21}\) and the multiplying factor \( [1 + 5(x_i^a x_j^a)^2] \) is due to the short-range order observed in the amorphous phase.\(^{22}\)

On the other hand, \( \Delta H^{\text{elastic}} \) was calculated by eqs. (9) to (11). The elastic enthalpy term of a constituent binary subsystem \( \Delta H^{\text{elastic}}_{ij} \) was defined as eq. (10)\(^{18, 19, 21}\) with elastic enthalpy of \( i \)-th element in \( j \)-th in the dilution limit \( \Delta H^{\text{elastic}}_{ij \text{ in } j} \) expressed by eq. (11). In eq. (11), bulk modulus \( K \) and shear modulus \( G \) were taken from a previous study,\(^{21}\) and modified volumes \( W_i \) and \( W_j \) of a sphere \( (i) \) and a hole \( (j) \) due to internal stress were calculated on the basis of their definition formulae.\(^{21}\) The parameters used for the calculation are summarized in Table 1.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns of the Nd\(_{60}\)Fe\(_{30}\)Al\(_{10-x}\)B\(_x\) \((x = 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10)\) alloys with a weight of 100 mg (6 mm in thickness). The diffraction profile of the Nd\(_{60}\)Fe\(_{30}\)Al\(_{10}\) \((x = 0)\) alloy shows a broad pattern around 2\(\theta\) from 20 to 40 degrees. Furthermore, no diffraction peaks resulting from a crystalline phases are shown over the entire diffraction angle. In contrast, appreciable diffraction peaks corresponding to (004)\(_{\alpha\text{-Nd}}\) and (102)\(_{\alpha\text{-Nd}}\) are dis-
cerned in the X-ray patterns of the B-containing alloys with \( x = 2.5, 5, 7.5 \) and 10. However, these diffraction profiles also show a rather broad peak around 20 ranging from 20 to 40 degrees. Thus, Fig. 1 shows that the structure in the bulk \( \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{10-x}\text{B}_x \) alloys is an amorphous phase for the \( x = 0 \) alloy, and partially crystalline plus amorphous phases for the \( x = 2.5, 5, 7.5 \) and 10 alloys. Figure 1 also indicates that the replacement of Al with B causes a decrease in AFA.

In order to examine in more details the structure of the button-shaped Nd–Fe–Al–B alloys, TEM observations were carried out for the \( \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{10-x}\text{B}_x \) alloys with a weight of 10 mg (1 mm in thickness). Figure 2 shows the high-resolution TEM images, and selected-area electron diffraction patterns (SADPs) taken from a part of approximately 100 nm in diameter for \( \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{10-x}\text{B}_x \) (\( x = 0, 5 \) and 10) bulk alloys with a weight of 10 mg (1 mm in thickness). Figure 2(b) contains some areas revealing a lattice fringe-like contrast, most parts in the image are occupied by non-periodic contrast. Furthermore, the SADP in Fig. 2(a) consists only of halo rings and no appreciable reflection spots from crystalline phases are seen. These results indicate that an amorphous phase is formed in this alloy. In contrast to Fig. 2(a), the images in Figs. 2(b) and (c) show distinct lattice fringes, and the corresponding SADPs give reflection spots. From the images shown in Figs. 2(b) and (c), the mean diameter of the lattice fringe regions is estimated as more than 20 nm for the \( x = 5 \) alloy, and approximately 5 nm for the \( x = 10 \) alloy. The difference in the region size for these alloys can be recognized in their SADPs as in the case of the difference in the number of the reflection spots: the spots in SADP are clearly observed in Fig. 2(b) than in Fig. 2(c). Furthermore, one can see halo ring in the SADPs, and the intensity of the halo rings is slightly higher in Fig. 2(c) than in Fig. 2(b). Thus, the result in Fig. 2 are consistent with the results obtained from the X-ray diffraction analysis, i.e., the structure of the \( \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{10-x}\text{B}_x \) are an amorphous phase for the \( x = 0 \) alloy, and partially crystalline plus amorphous phases for the \( x = 5 \) and 10 alloys. The results of Figs. 1 and 2 indicate that AFA changes in the order of \( \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{10} > \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{B}_{10} > \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{5}\text{B}_5 \).

Figure 3 shows the DSC curves of the button-shaped \( \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{10-x}\text{B}_x \) (\( x = 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5 \) and 10) alloys with a weight of 10 mg (1 mm in thickness). These alloys show the following three phase transitions: a distinct exothermic reaction due to crystallization marked with \( T_x \), an endothermic reaction due to eutectic reaction marked with \( T_{ce} \), and melting marked with \( T_m \). From Fig. 3, \( T_x \) is measured as 790 K for \( x = 0 \), 780 K for \( x = 2.5 \), 820 K for \( x = 5 \), 860 K for \( x = 7.5 \) and 860 K for \( x = 10 \). It is noted that the heat of the exothermic reaction tends to decrease with increasing B content, indicating a decrease in AFA of the alloys. However, one can discern an exothermic reaction in the DSC profile of the \( x = 10 \) alloy. This indicates that the amorphous phase remains even in the highest B content alloy of 10 at.%. Figure 4 shows the hysteresis J-H loops of the button-shaped \( \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{10-x}\text{B}_x \) (\( x = 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5 \) and 10) alloys with a weight of 10 mg (1 mm in thickness). Smooth J-H curves without distinct step are seen for the \( x = 0, 7.5 \) and 10

### Table 1: Interfacial enthalpy \( \Delta H^\text{int} \) and elastic enthalpy \( \Delta H^\text{elastic} \) for \( \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{5} \) and \( \text{Nd}_{50}\text{Fe}_{50}B_{10} \) alloys calculated in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>( \Delta H^\text{int}_{ij} \text{[kJmol}^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \Delta H^\text{elastic}_{ij} \text{[kJmol}^{-1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A–B–C–D</td>
<td>A in B</td>
<td>A in C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al–Fe–Nd</td>
<td>–48</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B–Fe–Nd</td>
<td>–94</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd–Fe–Al</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd–Fe–B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd–Al–B</td>
<td>–184</td>
<td>–138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd–B–Fe</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al–Fe–Nd</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B–Fe–Nd</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd–Fe–Al</td>
<td>–770</td>
<td>–150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd–Fe–B</td>
<td>–120</td>
<td>–101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd–Al–B</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd–B–Fe</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 High-resolution TEM images and selected area diffraction patterns (SADPs) taken from a part of approximately 100 nm in diameter for \( \text{Nd}_{60}\text{Fe}_{30}\text{Al}_{10-x}\text{B}_x \) (\( x = 0, 5 \) and 10) bulk alloys with a weight of 10 mg (1 mm in thickness), a) \( x = 0 \), b) \( x = 5 \) and c) \( x = 10 \).
alloys. On the other hand, the J-H curves of the x = 2.5 and 5 alloys show loose bending points at \( (H, J) \approx (\pm 400 \text{ kA/m, } \pm 0.03 \text{ T}) \). Furthermore, saturation magnetization \( (J_{1432}) \) of the x = 2.5 and 5 alloys are about 0.16 T, which is higher than those of the other three alloys. Since it is widely accepted that magnetization increases when amorphous alloys crystallizes, these results suggest that rather coarse crystalline phases precipitate in the x = 2.5 and 5 alloys. By contrast, smooth J-H curves were measured for the x = 10 alloy, although the X-ray diffraction and TEM observation results reveal the formation of amorphous and crystalline phases. The reason for the smooth J-H curves for the x = 10 alloy is presumably due to the rather small particle size of nearly 5 nm, which was confirmed by TEM observation in Fig. 2. The fine mixed structure seems to enable a magnetic coupling between the amorphous and crystalline phases. From the J-H loops shown in Fig. 4, the remanence \( (B_r) \), magnetization under a field of 1242 kA/m \( (J_{1242}) \) and intrinsic coercive field \( (H_c) \) are measured to be 0.076 T, 0.117 T and 292 kA/m, respectively, for the bulk amorphous Nd60Fe30Al10 alloy. In comparison with those for the bulk amorphous Nd–Fe–Al alloys with a diameter of 1 mm \( (B_r = 0.112 \text{ T, } J_{1432} = 0.180 \text{ T and } H_c = 288 \text{ kA/m}),\) and with a diameter of 12 mm \( (B_r = 0.086 \text{ T, } J_{1432} = 0.117 \text{ T and } H_c = 321 \text{ kA/m}),\) it can be said that almost the same magnetic properties were obtained in the button-shaped bulk specimen.

In order to confirm the AFA of the Nd–Fe–Al and Nd–Fe–B systems theoretically, AFCR of the systems were calculated. Figure 5 shows the calculated AFCR of the Nd–Fe–Al and Nd–Fe–B systems together with the experimental results. From Fig. 5, the calculated AFCRs extend a wide composition range over almost whole the composition range except the area near the constituent elements themselves. From Fig. 5, the calculated AFCR of Nd–Fe–Al system agrees with the experimental result. On the other hand, the calculated AFCR of Nd–Fe–B system is wider than the experimental result. Thus, these calculation results do not always provide a definite evidence which is useful for the interpretation of the difference in AFA between both the systems.

4. Discussion

In this section, we first discuss the decrease in AFA by the replacement of Al with B, and subsequently the discrepancy in the AFCR of Nd–Fe–B system will be investigated.

As the necessary conditions for fabricating the metallic glasses, Inoue \textit{et al.} proposed the following three criteria for the achievement of high glass-forming ability: (1) multi-component alloy systems consisting of more than three elements, (2) significant difference in atomic size ratios, above about 12\%, among the main three constituent elements, and (3) negative heats of mixing among their elements. In our previous study\textsuperscript{23, 24} we have also proposed the following thermodynamical functions which can deal with the second and third criteria numerically:

\[
\Delta_{\text{chem}} = \sum_{i \neq j} N \Omega_{ij} c_i c_j, \quad (12)
\]

\[
S_\varphi = k_B \left[ \frac{3}{2} (\zeta^2 - 1) y_1 + \frac{3}{2} (\zeta - 1)^2 y_2 \right. \\
- \left. \left( \frac{1}{2} (\zeta - 1)(\zeta - 3) + \ln \zeta \right) (1 - y_3) \right]. \quad (13)
\]

Here, \( \Delta H_{\text{chem}} \) and \( S_\varphi \) are the mixing enthalpy and the mismatch entropy, respectively, of the system. Equation (12) is similar to eq. (4); however, \( \Omega_{ij} \) is the regular solution interaction parameter between \( i \)- and \( j \)-elements, and has a constant value\textsuperscript{23, 24}. In eq. (13), \( \zeta \) is defined as \( \zeta = 1/(1 - \xi) \), using packing fraction \( \xi \). The dimensionless parameters, \( y_1, y_2 \) and \( y_3 \), have a relation of \( y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 1 \), and are defined as eqs. (14) to (16) with atomic diameter \( d_i \) of \( i \)-element. These
Table 2 Parameters used for the calculation of eqs. (12) and (13). Mixing enthalpy values $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$’s of three binary subsystems were calculated based on Miedema’s macroscopic model. The regular solution interaction parameter $\Omega$ in eq. (12) has a relation with $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ as $\Omega = 4 \Delta H_{\text{mix}}$. Atomic radius $r$ was quoted from a databook.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$r$ [nm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A–B–C)</td>
<td>A–B</td>
<td>B–C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al–Fe–Nd</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B–Fe–Nd</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The equations were derived by transforming original formulæ of Mansoori et al. 25)

\[
y_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \sum_{j>i=1}^n (d_i + d_j)(d_i - d_j)^2 c_i c_j, \quad (14)
\]

\[
y_2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{(\sigma^3)^2} \sum_{j>i=1}^n (d_i d_j)(d_i - d_j)^2 c_i c_j, \quad (15)
\]

\[
y_3 = \frac{\sigma^3}{(\sigma^3)^2}, \quad (16)
\]

\[
\sigma^k = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i q_i^k, \quad k = 2, 3.
\]

In calculating $\Delta H_{\text{chem}}$ and $S_\sigma$ by eqs. (12) and (13), packing fraction $\xi$ was fixed as 0.64, which is assumed as dense random packing of non-crystalline materials. The parameters used for calculations are summarized in Table 2. Atomic radius $r$ was quoted from a databook. 26)

By using eqs. (12) and (13), one can evaluate the degree of satisfaction of the three empirical component criteria as a function of alloy composition under the concept that the system with large and negative $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ and/or large $S_\sigma/k_B$ has high AFA. The calculation results show ($\Delta H_{\text{mix}}, S_\sigma/k_B$) = (−10 kJ/mol, 0.46) for Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$, and ($\Delta H_{\text{mix}}, S_\sigma/k_B$) = (−14 kJ/mol, 0.62) for Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$. These calculation results indicate that the AFA of the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$ alloy is higher than that of the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$ alloy, in disagreement with the experimental results.

As far as $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ and $S_\sigma/k_B$ values of amorphous alloys, statistic analyses were carried out for 6500 ternary amorphous alloys listed in a database. 27) As a result, alloys in an amorphous state have the threshold values 23) of $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ and $S_\sigma/k_B$ which are surrounded in the following trapezoid region in $\Delta H_{\text{mix}} = \log(S_\sigma/k_B)$ diagram as shown in Fig. 6. 23) $−55$ kJ/mol $\leq \Delta H_{\text{mix}} \leq 0$ kJ/mol and $\log(2 \times 10^{-2}) \log(\Delta H_{\text{mix}}/100 + 1) \leq \log(S_\sigma/k_B) \leq 1$. The calculated results in the present study show that the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$ amorphous alloy with ($\Delta H_{\text{mix}}, S_\sigma/k_B$) = (−10 kJ/mol, 0.46) is located inside the trapezoid region while the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$ alloy with ($\Delta H_{\text{mix}}, S_\sigma/k_B$) = (−11 kJ/mol, 0.62) lies near the upper edge of line of $\log(S_\sigma/k_B) = 1$. Since almost all of the amorphous alloys have been obtained in the trapezoid area of $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ and $S_\sigma/k_B$ values, the sets of $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ and $S_\sigma/k_B$ values plotted in the trapezoid region are thought to be a limitation factor for forming an amorphous phase. This limitation also implies that the AFA may be easier for Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$ than for Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$ because the Nd–Fe–Al alloy is located near the center in the trapezoid region. In order to relate the above-described possibility with the AFA, the AFCR of Nd–Fe–Al and Nd–Fe–B systems were again calculated under the condition with imposing the limitation of the $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ and $S_\sigma/k_B$ values. In the following calculation, the compositions whose $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ and $S_\sigma/k_B$ were located outside the trapezoid area in Fig. 6 were excluded from the calculation results.

Figure 7 shows the calculated results for Nd–Fe–Al and Nd–Fe–B systems together with the statistical limitation of $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ and $S_\sigma/k_B$ values. The calculations were carried out using the same parameters listed in Table 1. From Fig. 7, the calculated AFCR of Nd–Fe–Al system is similar to that in Fig. 5, indicating that the $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ and $S_\sigma/k_B$ values of this system are located in the trapezoid area in Fig. 6. In strong contrast, the calculated AFCR of Nd–Fe–B system in Fig. 7 shows considerably smaller AFCR than that shown in Fig. 5. From Fig. 7(b), the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$ alloy is located still inside the calculated AFCR, but its location is far away from the center, lies near the edge of the AFCR. This implies the decrease in AFA of the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$ alloy. These calculation
results provide us the reason for the decrease in AFA with replacement of Al with B in the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10-x}$B$_x$ ($x = 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5$ and $10$) alloys.

Another factor for the difficulty of the amorphous phase formation for the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$ alloy is presumably due to the presence of the intermetallic compounds in the ternary system. In the equilibrium ternary phase diagram$^{28}$ of Nd–Fe–B system, we can recognize nine compounds: Nd$_2$Fe$_{14}$B, B$_3$FeNd$_2$, B$_3$Fe$_{23}$Nd$_2$, B$_4$Fe$_4$Nd, B$_6$Fe$_2$Nd$_5$, B$_{18}$Fe$_{18}$Nd$_5$, B$_3$Fe$_7$Nd$_2$, B$_3$Fe$_7$Nd$_2$ and B$_{24}$Fe$_{27}$Nd$_8$. On the other hand, the Nd–Fe–Al system includes four compounds: Al$_2$Fe$_{15}$Nd$_2$, Al$_8$Fe$_3$Nd, Al$_{18}$Fe$_{45}$Nd$_{10}$ and Al$_4$Fe$_3$Nd$_2$. Although amorphous single phase alloys are formed in Nd–Fe–B systems by rapid quenching, the difference in numbers of intermetallic compound is thought to affect the AFA. This is also related to the experimental result that the AFA is lower for the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$ alloy than for the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$ alloy.

Finally, we describe advantage points of the arc melting method for the formation of amorphous alloys and metallic glasses. First, the button-shaped bulk specimens are prepared from the crashed fragments of an ingot, and hence, composition deviation of each specimens is considerably smaller as compared with the alloys prepared by the other methods. Second, the specimen size can be changed continuously because of no limitation of the mold size. Consequently, we can obtain amorphous alloys and metallic glasses in different relaxation states due to the difference in cooling rates of each specimen. These advantages can give ideal experimental conditions for the series of analyses of amorphous alloys and metallic glasses, in particular, in the field of physical properties which are strongly affected by structural relaxation.
5. Conclusions

The conclusions derived from the present results and discussion are summarized as follows.

(1) Bulk Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10-x}$B$_x$ ($x = 0, 2.5, 5, 7.5$ and $10$ at%) alloys with hard magnetic properties were prepared by the arc melting method. The Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$ alloy has an amorphous phase, and the other alloys consist of partially crystalline plus amorphous phases.

(2) The bulk Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$ amorphous alloy of $1$ mm in thickness has crystallization temperature ($T_x$) of $802$ K and eutectic temperature ($T_e$) of $925$ K, and reduced crystallization temperature ($T_x/T_e$) of $0.87$.

(3) The bulk Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10}$ amorphous alloy exhibits hard magnetic properties at $298$ K: saturation magnetization of $0.13$ T, remanance of $0.09$, and intrinsic coercive filed of $275$ kA/m.

(4) The thermal and magnetic properties are nearly the same as those for the corresponding bulk amorphous cylinders with diameters of $1$ to $12$ mm prepared by conventional casting.

(5) The replacement of Al with B in Nd–Fe–Al amorphous alloy causes a decrease in amorphous-forming ability (AFA) with remaining hard magnetic properties.

(6) The reason for the decrease in AFA by the replacement of Al with B in the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$Al$_{10-x}$B$_x$ alloys is presumably because the Nd$_{60}$Fe$_{30}$B$_{10}$ alloy is located near the edge of the AFCR in Nd–Fe–B system.
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